

INNER WEST COUNCIL

NEIGHBOURHOOD COMMUNITY INSIGHTS REPORT chapter 2

April 2019

TABLE OF CONTENTS

About Place score and this research	3	Neighbourhood profiles	31	Planning Tool box	122
How do we collect and use the data?	5	Neighbourhood profiles: Introduction	32	Planning tool box Introduction	123
About the respondents	6	Annandale	33	Economy & centres	125
Executive summary	7	Ashfield and Surrounds	39	Facilities	131
District Plan alignment	8	Balmain and Surrounds	45	Movement	137
LSPS vision directions	9	Dulwich Hill	51	Public spaces	143
LSPS vision directions	9	Haberfield	57	Residential & built form	150
LGA strengths and priorities	10	Leichhardt	63	Sustainability	156
Liveability summary (1/2)	11	Lewisham-Petersham	69	Local Character Statements	163
Liveability summary (2/2)	12	Marrickville	75	Local Character Statement Introduction	164
How do you compare?	13	Newtown-Enmore	81	Annandale	165
Community ideas for change (1/2)	14	Rozelle-Lilyfield	87	Ashfield	167
Community ideas for change (2/2)	15	Stanmore-Camperdown	93	Balmain and Surrounds	169
Community ideas regarding development	16	Summer Hill	99	Dulwich Hill	171
Your LGA Data at a glance	17	Sydenham-Tempe-St Peters	105	Haberfield	173
Neighbourhood Care Factor	18	Local Strategic Planning Statement	111	Leichhardt	175
Neighbourhood Liveability	24	LSPS Introduction	112	Lewisham-Petersham	177
		District Plan alignment	114	Marrickville	179
		LSPS Vision directions	115	Newtown-Enmore	181
		LSPS Vision directions	115	Rozelle-Lilyfield	183
		LSPS Context	116	Stanmore-Camperdown	185
		LSPS Liveability directions	117	Summer Hill	187
		LSPS Productivity directions	118	Sydenham-Tempe-St Peters	189
		LSPS Sustainability directions	119	Reference documents	191
		LSPS Transport and accessibility directions	120	Reference List	192
		Community ideas regarding development	121		

ABOUT PLACE SCORE AND THIS RESEARCH


Place Score offers two sophisticated data collection tools, Care Factor and Place Experience (PX) Assessments. Like a 'place census', Care Factor captures what your community really values, while PX Assessments measure the community's lived experience.

Together they help you identify what is important, how a place is performing and what the focus of change should be. An attribute with a high Care Factor but a low PX Assessment should be a priority for investment.

There are many benefits in using Place Score for your project research:

- Community segmentation; geographic and demographic
- Insights that can be used for multiple projects over a number of years: strategic planning and implementation projects
- Quantitative data for evidence based planning to measure the impact of investment over time
- Identification of place attributes that the community all cares about as well as potential conflicts to minimise risk

HOW THE PLACE SCORE SYSTEM WORKS:



A place attribute with a high Care Factor but a low PX Score should be prioritised.

WHERE AND WHEN WAS THIS DATA COLLECTED?

Between 4 February and 6 March 2019 Place Score collected Neighbourhood Care Factor surveys and PX Assessments for the Inner West Council. This data is the basis for your Neighbourhood Community Insights Report.

Surveys were available in: English, Italian, Greek, Simplified Chinese, Spanish, and Vietnamese.

NEIGHBOURHOOD CARE FACTOR SURVEY

Which place attributes are most important to you in your ideal neighbourhood?

- 1805 respondents, with 1701 being local residents
- Respondents were asked 'What is your small or big idea to make your neighbourhood a better place?'
- 1203 people shared their ideas.
- Online and face-to-face data was collected between 4 February and 6 March 2019.

NEIGHBOURHOOD PX ASSESSMENTS

How is each place attribute impacting your personal enjoyment of your neighbourhood?

- 1091 local residents, workers and visitors completed a Neighbourhood PX Assessment
- Respondents were asked 'What's missing in your neighbourhood that would make it a better place to live?'
- 867 people shared their ideas.
- Online and face-to-face data was collected between 4 February and 6 March 2019.

A total of 2,896 responses were collected during the research.

ABOUT PLACE SCORE AND THIS RESEARCH

STRATEGIC PLANNING USING PLACE SCORE

Place Score provides a rigorous evidence base for decision making by providing four different data sets:

- 1. CARE FACTOR** - what your community thinks is most important in their 'ideal neighbourhood'. Like a 'place census' you can use this data to understand community values in a specific location or for a particular demographic group
- 2. PX ASSESSMENT** - how your community rates the liveability of their current neighbourhood. This measures performance and can be used as a baseline from which to compare the place after investment and over time.
- 3. PLACE PRIORITIES** - by aggregating the Care Factor and the PX Assessment data we can identify what place attributes people both care about and think are performing poorly (priorities), and those that are performing well (retain and protect).
- 4. OPEN ENDED QUESTIONS** - your community's ideas for changes that will make their lives better. This provides the opportunity to 'hear the voice of the community'.

Place Score has tailored the Neighbourhood Care Factor and PX Assessment reporting to reflect the requirements of the Greater Sydney Commission and Department of Planning. Place Score's standardised insights provides a "common language" across the LEP update process, assuring a clear line of sight from the District Plan to the Local Environmental Plan.

This report is designed to assimilate your community's inputs directly into each of the key areas of the LEP Update to help simplify Council's task:

- Local Strategic Planning Statement
- Local Character Statement
- LEP key themes (eg Residential)

CONNECTING PLACE SCORE TO STRATEGIC PLANNING

The Care Factor and PX Assessment include 50 neighbourhood attributes. Because liveable neighbourhoods are a complex system of the both tangible and intangible, and the private and the public, not all Place Score attributes align directly with the LEP Update process. The following table summarises how Place Score has built the base structure for this report - by coding our attributes against the requirements set out in sample documents and guidelines.

PLAN	DIRECTION / THEME	PLACE SCORE ATTRIBUTES (TOTAL OF 50)	PLACE SCORE OPEN QUESTION ANALYSIS
Greater Sydney Commission Directions / Local Strategic Planning Statement	Liveability	28 attributes	Open question analysis (Built form, facilities, movement, economy, housing, character, public domain, community behaviours, social connections and safety, natural environment, development and change)
	Productivity	12 attributes	
	Sustainability	10 attributes	
Local Character Statement	Built form	5 Attributes	
	Land use	12 attributes	
	Place	24 attributes	
	Landscape	5 attributes	
Planning Tool Box	Movement	4 attributes	Planning Tool Box Open Question Analysis (Economy and centres, facilities, movement, public spaces, residential and built form, sustainability)
	Economy and centres	5 attributes	
	Facilities	5 attributes	
	Movement	4 attributes	
	Public spaces	7 attributes	
	Residential and built form	8 attributes	
	Sustainability	5 attributes	

NOTES:

A response to the 'Infrastructure and Collaboration' directions from the Greater Sydney Commission's District Plan has not been included in this report as there was low levels of attribute alignment. Where a Place Score attribute could have been aligned with this direction there was also an overlap with the 'Productivity' direction. For the purpose of this report 'Productivity' was favoured as the more valuable direction for the community.

Local Character Statement categories are based on example reports for St Leonards & Crows Nest and Telopea provided by the NSW Department of Planning and Environment.¹

Planning Tool Box themes are based upon Place Score attributes and Council preferences.

HOW DO WE COLLECT AND USE THE DATA?

PLACE SCORE COLLECTS THREE DIFFERENT DATA SOURCES:

DATA SOURCE	QUESTION ASKED
CARE FACTOR (CF)	<i>'Which place attributes are most important to you in your ideal neighbourhood?'</i> Respondents selected their 3 most important attributes in five categories to reveal what they value.
PLACE EXPERIENCE ASSESSMENT (PX)	<i>'How is each place attribute impacting your personal enjoyment of your neighbourhood?'</i> Respondents rated the performance of each attribute in five categories in relation to their neighbourhood.
OPEN-ENDED QUESTION (OPENS)	<i>'What is your big or small idea to make your neighbourhood better for you?'</i> and <i>'What's missing in your neighbourhood that would make it a better place to live?'</i> Respondents were given 25 words to express their ideas for each question, responses have been classified according to different themes by Place Score.

THERE ARE THREE DIFFERENT WAYS THE DATA IS REPORTED:

1. Raw data (e.g. Care Factor top 10)
2. Combined Care Factor and PX data (e.g. Liveability priorities)
3. Raw and/or combined data coded against NSW Planning / Greater Sydney Commission (GSC) requirements or a specific topic (e.g. Local Character 'Place')

SECTION	PAGES	DATA SOURCE			DATA REPORTING ¹
		CF	PX	OPENS	
NEIGHBOURHOOD PROFILES (P.31-110)	Strengths and Priorities	Yes	Yes	No	Combined Care Factor and PX data
	Top 10 Care Factor	Yes	No	No	Raw data
	Liveability	No	Yes	No	Raw data
	Ideas for change	No	No	Yes	Raw data
LOCAL STRATEGIC PLANNING STATEMENT (P.111-121)	Region and District Plan Alignment	Yes	Yes	No	Raw and/or combined data coded against NSW Planning requirements or a specific topic
	Vision Directions	Yes	Yes	Yes	Raw and/or combined data coded against NSW Planning requirements or a specific topic
	Context	Yes	No	No	Raw data coded against NSW Planning / GSC requirements
	Directions	Yes	Yes	Yes	Raw and/or combined data coded against NSW Planning / GSC requirements
	Who wants Change?	No	No	Yes	Raw data
PLANNING TOOL BOX (P.122-162)	Directions	Yes	Yes	Yes	Raw and/or combined data coded against NSW Planning / GSC requirements
	Community Priorities for Investment	Yes	Yes	No	Raw and/or combined data coded against NSW Planning / GSC requirements
	Priorities, Strengths and community concerns	Yes	Yes	Yes	Raw and/or combined data coded against NSW Planning / GSC requirements
	Community ideas for change	No	No	Yes	Raw and/or combined data coded against NSW Planning / GSC requirements
LOCAL CHARACTER STATEMENT (P.163-190)	Local Character Attributes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Raw and/or combined data coded against NSW Planning / GSC requirements
	Local Character Directions	Yes	Yes	Yes	Raw and/or combined data coded against NSW Planning / GSC requirements

ABOUT THE RESPONDENTS

CONFIDENCE LEVEL:

Unless noted otherwise, a **95% confidence level** with a margin of error of ± 5 (%) or pts) can be expected for all Care Factor and PX Data


	Demographic	Low Target	Achieved	Remark
CF	LGA	n = 380 for $\pm 5\%$ at 95% Confidence	n = 1701	Above target
	15-24 yrs	13% $\pm 5\%$	3.2%	4.8% below target margin
	25-44 yrs	45% $\pm 5\%$	39.3%	0.8% below target margin
	45-64 yrs	28% $\pm 5\%$	41.2%	8.2% over target margin
	65+ yrs	13% $\pm 5\%$	16.3%	On target
	Male	48.7% $\pm 5\%$	34.9%	8.8% below target margin
	Female	51.3% $\pm 5\%$	64.7%	8.4% over target margin
	Smallest sample (Haberfield)	n = 90 for $\pm 10\%$ at 95% Confidence	n = 67	Achieved $\pm 10\%$ at 90% Confidence for Haberfield.
PX	LGA	n = 280 for $\pm 3.5\%$ at 95% Confidence	n = 1091	Above target
	15-24 yrs	13% $\pm 5\%$	4.1%	3.9% below target margin
	25-44 yrs	45% $\pm 5\%$	37.7%	2.3% below target margin
	45-64 yrs	28% $\pm 5\%$	39.6%	6.6% above target margin
	65+ yrs	13% $\pm 5\%$	18.6%	0.6% above target margin
	Male	48.7% $\pm 5\%$	35.1%	8.6% below target margin
	Female	51.3% $\pm 5\%$	64.5%	8.2% over target margin
	Smallest sample (Haberfield)	n = 70 for $\pm 7\%$ at 95% Confidence	n = 36	Achieved $\pm 7\%$ at 85% Confidence for Haberfield.

CARE FACTOR DATA

Data was collected via online and face-to-face surveys during the period 4 February and 6 March 2019. A total of 1701 local residents participated.


n=1701

GENDER



AGE¹

15-24
25-44
45-64
65+



COUNTRY OF BIRTH


Australia 72.2%
United Kingdom 9.2%
New Zealand 2.7%
U.S.A 1.8%
Italy 1.1%

PX DATA

Data was collected via online and face-to-face surveys during the period 4 February and 6 March 2019. A total of 1091 people participated.


n=1091

GENDER



AGE¹

15-24
25-44
45-64
65+



COUNTRY OF BIRTH


Australia 71.1%
United Kingdom 10.2%
New Zealand 2.7%
U.S.A 1.7%
France 1.0%

2016 CENSUS DATA

This column captures the make-up of our population in accordance with the 2016 census.


N=105,715

GENDER



AGE¹

15-24
25-44
45-64
65+



COUNTRY OF BIRTH

Australia 58.1%
England 4.4%
China 3.5%
New Zealand 2.3%
Italy 1.8%

YOUR LGA DATA AT A GLANCE

carefactor neighbourhood

Care Factor requires respondents to prioritise different aspects of a neighbourhood to identify what they personally care the most about.

Overall, most people in your LGA selected the following Place Attributes:

RANK	ATTRIBUTE	% OF n
#1	General condition of public open space (street trees, footpaths, parks etc.)	70%
#2	Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)	55%
#3	Local businesses that provide for daily needs (grocery stores, pharmacy, banks etc.)	52%
#4	Access and safety of walking, cycling and/or public transport (signage, paths, lighting etc.)	50%
#5	Elements of natural environment (natural features, views, vegetation, topography, water, wildlife etc.)	49%


PXassessment neighbourhood

A PX Assessment asks respondents to rate how different aspects of their current neighbourhood are impacting their 'lived place experience', resulting in a PX Score that captures neighbourhood liveability.

Here is how community rated the liveability of their current neighbourhoods:

**YOUR LGA'S
AVERAGE PX
SCORE IS:**

69



NEIGHBOURHOOD CARE FACTOR

YOUR CARE FACTOR DATA ACTS AS A
'PLACE CENSUS', IDENTIFYING WHAT IS MOST
IMPORTANT TO THE COMMUNITY IN THEIR IDEAL
NEIGHBOURHOOD. THIS DATA IS ALSO AVAILABLE VIA
YOUR ONLINE DASHBOARD.

NEIGHBOURHOOD PLACE VALUES

YOUR COMMUNITY VALUES NEIGHBOURHOODS THAT ARE:

WELL MAINTAINED

Your community highly values the condition of public open spaces, so much so that it is the number one Care Factor in every surveyed neighbourhoods but Haberfield. The quality of public spaces (footpaths, street trees, parks) was also selected by many members of your community as being important to them.

HUMAN SCALED

Your community's ideal neighbourhood offers safe and easy active transport options that connect their residence to nearby amenities, every day shops or parks.

LANDSCAPED AND GREEN

Your community cares about their neighbourhood offering natural features, views, vegetation and quality landscaping.

VIBRANT AND SAFE

Your community values having things to do in the evening (bars, dining, cinema, live music etc.), but also cares about their neighbourhood providing a feeling of safety for all, during both day and night.

Differences: While there are some minor differences between demographics, most of the Care Factor differences are between different neighbourhoods.

DEMOGRAPHIC DIFFERENCES IN NEIGHBOURHOOD VALUES

25-44 YEARS OLD	30% of people aged 25-44 care about 'Spaces suitable for play (from toddlers to teens)' compared to only 13% of people aged 45-64.
45-64 YEARS OLD	36% of people aged 45-64 care about 'Local history, historic buildings or features' compared to only 23% of people aged 25-44
AUSTRALIAN BORN	46% of people born in Australia care about 'Protection of the natural environment' compared to only 36% of people born in United Kingdom.
UK BORN	49% of people born in United Kingdom care about 'Overall visual character of the neighbourhood' compared to only 38% of people born in Australia.
MEN	35% of Men care about 'Evidence of recent public investment (roads, parks, schools etc.)' compared to only 27% of Women.
WOMEN	37% of Women care about 'Evidence of community activity (volunteering, gardening, art, community-organised events etc.)' compared to only 25% of Men.











NEIGHBOURHOOD PLACE VALUES

The Care Factor survey asks respondents to select what is most important to them in each of five Place Dimensions.


The Place Dimensions and associated Place Attributes reveal what attracts and attaches people to a neighbourhood, as well as the barriers to entry or connection.


YOUR LGA TOP 10 CARE FACTORS


Your LGA top 10 Care Factors are ranked based on how many people selected each attribute as being important to them in the 'ideal neighbourhood'.


RANK	ATTRIBUTE	% OF PEOPLE	RANK	ATTRIBUTE	% OF PEOPLE
#1	General condition of public open space (street trees, footpaths, parks etc.)	70% 	#6	Things to do in the evening (bars, dining, cinema, live music etc.)	48% 
#2	Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)	55% 	#7	Quality of public space (footpaths, verges, parks etc.)	47% 
#3	Local businesses that provide for daily needs (grocery stores, pharmacy, banks etc.)	52% 	#8	Walking/jogging/bike paths that connect housing to communal amenity (shops, parks etc.)	46% 
#4	Access and safety of walking, cycling and/or public transport (signage, paths, lighting etc.)	50% 	#9	Landscaping and natural elements (street trees, planting, water features etc.)	45% 
#5	Elements of natural environment (natural features, views, vegetation, topography, water, wildlife etc.)	49% 	#9	Sense of personal safety (for all ages, genders, day or night)	45% 


THE FIVE PLACE DIMENSIONS ARE:

CARE
 How well a neighbourhood is managed, maintained and improved. It considers care, pride, personal and financial investment in the area.

LOOK & FUNCTION
 Physical characteristics of a neighbourhood: how it looks and works, the buildings, public space and vegetation.

SENSE OF WELCOME
 The social characteristics of a neighbourhood, and how inviting it feels to a range of people regardless of age, income, gender, ethnicity or interests.

THINGS TO DO
 Activities, events and inviting spaces to spend time in a neighbourhood that might lead to a smile or a new friend.

UNIQUENESS
 Physical, social, cultural or economic aspects of an area that make a neighbourhood interesting, special or unique.

NEIGHBOURHOOD PLACE VALUES

YOUR COMMUNITY IS GENERALLY ALIGNED WITHIN YOUR TOP 10 CARE FACTORS

The following tables illustrate the differences in values between demographic groups. The circled numbers refer to the LGA's top 10 Care Factors, while the grid colour identifies each demographic's top three attributes.

DEMOGRAPHIC BREAKDOWN ¹											
ALL	1701	#1	#2	#3	#4	#5	#6	#7	#8	#9	#9
Male	593	72%	53%	49%	50%	49%	52%	47%	49%	41%	42%
Female	1100	69%	56%	54%	50%	49%	46%	47%	44%	47%	46%
Intersex	8	75%	38%	25%	25%	25%	38%	25%	38%	25%	75%
Age											
0-24	54	44%	54%	52%	44%	54%	69%	39%	30%	50%	48%
25-44	669	69%	51%	50%	46%	49%	51%	50%	46%	40%	45%
45-64	701	72%	53%	53%	53%	49%	50%	45%	47%	49%	44%
65+	277	71%	67%	53%	51%	48%	32%	47%	44%	44%	45%
Country of birth (Top 3)											
Australia	1228	70%	55%	53%	51%	48%	49%	47%	44%	46%	44%
United Kingdom	157	66%	57%	51%	43%	47%	54%	44%	48%	42%	48%
New Zealand	46	74%	57%	37%	70%	65%	54%	46%	52%	52%	48%
Ancestry (Top 3)											
European (including United Kingdom)	720	70%	53%	53%	51%	47%	51%	47%	48%	47%	43%
Australasian	704	72%	57%	54%	50%	52%	48%	47%	45%	44%	46%
Mixed	132	60%	53%	38%	43%	50%	44%	43%	36%	39%	50%

DEMOGRAPHIC BREAKDOWN ¹											
Density		#1	#2	#3	#4	#5	#6	#7	#8	#9	#9
Rural/Suburban (Low density)	35	71%	46%	46%	40%	46%	51%	34%	54%	54%	40%
Inner-urban (Low-medium density)	824	70%	54%	50%	54%	48%	49%	45%	47%	45%	43%
Inner-urban (Medium-high density)	792	70%	56%	54%	46%	49%	47%	50%	44%	44%	46%
City (High density)	50	68%	56%	50%	40%	58%	44%	46%	44%	40%	56%

LEGEND

- #1 attribute
- #2 attribute
- #3 attribute

NEIGHBOURHOOD PLACE VALUES

DIFFERENCES BETWEEN NEIGHBOURHOODS (1/2)

The communities in each of your neighbourhoods value different place attributes than the LGA Top 10. This table illustrates which of the LGA Top 10 attributes are less/more valued in each neighbourhood.

LEGEND

- Less valued than LGA
- More valued than LGA
- Not in a neighbourhood's top 10

	General condition of public open space (street trees, footpaths, parks etc.)	Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)	Local businesses that provide for daily needs (grocery stores, pharmacy, banks etc.)	Access and safety of walking, cycling and/or public transport (signage, paths, lighting etc.)	Elements of natural environment (natural features, views, vegetation, topography, water, wildlife etc.)	Things to do in the evening (bars, dining, cinema, live music etc.)	Quality of public space (footpaths, verges, parks etc.)	Walking/jogging/bike paths that connect housing to communal amenity (shops, parks etc.)	Landscaping and natural elements (street trees, planting, water features etc.)	Sense of personal safety (for all ages, genders, day or night)	
LGA TOP 10 RANK	#1	#2	#3	#4	#5	#6	#7	#8	#9	#9	Top 10 attributes for each Neighbourhood that are not in LGA Average Top 10 (We care about this more than everyone else...)
Annandale	#1	#2	#2	#6	#7	#14	#11	#10	#9	#19	#4 Sense of neighbourhood safety (from crime, traffic, pollution etc.), #5 Protection of the natural environment, #8 Sense of belonging in the community
Ashfield and Surrounds	#1	#8	#12	#4	#2	#17	#4	#3	#7	#4	#9 Access to shared community and commercial assets (library, bike/car share, sport facilities/gyms etc.), #9 Protection of the natural environment
Balmain and Surrounds	#1	#2	#4	#7	#3	#5	#10	#16	#10	#8	#6 Overall visual character of the neighbourhood, #8 Local history, historic buildings or features
Dulwich Hill	#1	#5	#2	#7	#11	#4	#10	#8	#9	#16	#3 Protection of the natural environment, #5 Locally owned and operated businesses
Haberfield ¹	#4	#2	#2	#1	#10	#20	#12	#12	#5	#21	#6 Protection of the natural environment, #7 Overall visual character of the neighbourhood, #8 Sense of neighbourhood safety (from crime, traffic, pollution etc.), #9 Sense of belonging in the community, #10 Spaces suitable for specific activities or special interests (entertainment, exercise, dog park, BBQs etc.)
Leichhardt	#1	#3	#5	#11	#4	#2	#9	#7	#6	#7	#10 Sense of neighbourhood safety (from crime, traffic, pollution etc.)*
Lewisham-Petersham	#1	#3	#5	#2	#7	#9	#5	#8	#15	#10	#4 Connectivity (proximity to other neighbourhoods, employment centres, shops etc.)

NEIGHBOURHOOD PLACE VALUES

DIFFERENCES BETWEEN NEIGHBOURHOODS (2/2)

The communities in each of your neighbourhoods value different place attributes than the LGA Top 10. This table illustrates which of the LGA Top 10 attributes are less/more valued in each neighbourhood.

LEGEND

- Less valued than LGA
- More valued than LGA
- Not in a neighbourhood's top 10

	General condition of public open space (street trees, footpaths, parks etc.)	Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)	Local businesses that provide for daily needs (grocery stores, pharmacy, banks etc.)	Access and safety of walking, cycling and/or public transport (signage, paths, lighting etc.)	Elements of natural environment (natural features, views, vegetation, topography, water, wildlife etc.)	Things to do in the evening (bars, dining, cinema, live music etc.)	Quality of public space (footpaths, verges, parks etc.)	Walking/jogging/bike paths that connect housing to communal amenity (shops, parks etc.)	Landscaping and natural elements (street trees, planting, water features etc.)	Sense of personal safety (for all ages, genders, day or night)	
LGA TOP 10 RANK	#1	#2	#3	#4	#5	#6	#7	#8	#9	#9	Top 10 attributes for each Neighbourhood that are not in LGA Average Top 10 (We care about this more than everyone else...)
Marrickville	#1	#3	#5	#8	#3	#2	#8	#7	#8	#8	#6 Sustainable behaviours in the community (water management, solar panels, recycling etc.), #8 Mix or diversity of people in the area, #8 Protection of the natural environment
Newtown-Enmore	#1	#7	#4	#9	#20	#2	#3	#12	#7	#6	#4 Connectivity (proximity to other neighbourhoods, employment centres, shops etc.), #10 Spaces suitable for specific activities or special interests (entertainment, exercise, dog park, BBQs etc.), #10 Evidence of Council/government management (signage, street cleaners etc.)
Rozelle-Lilyfield	#1	#4	#2	#8	#2	#12	#6	#8	#20	#11	#5 Sense of neighbourhood safety (from crime, traffic, pollution etc.), #6 Protection of the natural environment, #8 Overall visual character of the neighbourhood
Stanmore-Camperdown	#1	#2	#4	#5	#15	#8	#3	#9	#7	#6	#9 Connectivity (proximity to other neighbourhoods, employment centres, shops etc.), #9 Locally owned and operated businesses
Summer Hill	#1	#3	#2	#6	#19	#4	#9	#10	#15	#8	#4 Connectivity (proximity to other neighbourhoods, employment centres, shops etc.), #6 Locally owned and operated businesses, #10 Sense of belonging in the community
Sydenham-Tempe-St Peters	#1	#2	#9	#3	#5	#7	#9	#4	#12	#9	#5 Protection of the natural environment, #8 Connectivity (proximity to other neighbourhoods, employment centres, shops etc.)

NEIGHBOURHOOD LIVEABILITY

THE PX SCORE IS A NUMBER BETWEEN ZERO AND 100 THAT MEASURES YOUR COMMUNITY'S LIVED PLACE EXPERIENCE. IT ALLOWS YOU TO IDENTIFY WHAT ATTRIBUTES ARE CONTRIBUTING POSITIVELY AND NEGATIVELY TO HOW LIVEABLE A NEIGHBOURHOOD IS, PROVIDING YOU WITH AN IMPORTANT TOOL FOR PRIORITISING INVESTMENT.

NEIGHBOURHOOD LIVEABILITY

YOUR COMMUNITY IDENTIFIED THEIR NEIGHBOURHOODS AS:

NOT FAR OFF GREAT

With an average PX score of 69, your community perceives there is some room for improvement when it comes to how liveable their neighbourhood is.

NOT EQUAL

With Annandale and Balmain scoring a high 74/100 and Sydenham-Tempe-St Peter scoring low 58/100, your community identified disparities in terms of liveability across your LGA.

WELL CONNECTED

Overall, your neighbourhoods are perceived as well connected to other suburbs and as offering great access to local amenities.

EXPENSIVE TO LIVE IN

Your community perceives that the current range of housing prices and tenures is contributing negatively to the liveability of their neighbourhoods.

NEITHER GREEN OR CAR FRIENDLY


'Sustainable urban design (water sensitive design, transport-oriented design, sustainable building design, density etc.)' and 'Ease of driving and parking' are perceived as performing poorly.

A PX Assessment asks respondents to rate how different aspects of their current neighbourhood are impacting their 'lived place experience', resulting in a PX Score that captures neighbourhood liveability.

Here is how community rated the liveability of their current neighbourhoods:

YOUR LGA'S
AVERAGE PX
SCORE IS:

69















NEIGHBOURHOOD LIVEABILITY

WHO IS SATISFIED WITH THE CURRENT STATE OF THEIR NEIGHBOURHOOD?

This table identifies the PX Scores of your neighbourhoods filtered by different demographics. It allows you to see how different cohorts rate the current state of their neighbourhood.

LEGEND

-  Under 10 respondents
-  PX 70+ Performing well
-  PX 50-69 Room for improvement
-  PX <50 Urgent care needed

Location	n	Total PX Score	       						
			Men	Women	Diff. Identity	0-24	25-44	45-64	65+
LGA Average	1091	69	68	69	56	73	69	68	68
Annandale	78	74	76*	74	NA	79	77*	76*	70*
Ashfield and Surrounds	116	61	56	62	NA	67	60	59	62*
Balmain and Surrounds	113	74	74	72	NA	55	75*	74	70
Dulwich Hill	79	67	67*	69	52	62	68*	67	70*
Haberfield ¹	36	71	76	71*	NA	71	65	73*	76
Leichhardt	86	69	64*	72	NA	74	72*	67	71*
Lewisham-Petersham	70	66	68*	64	70	71	63	66*	74*
Marrickville	126	69	70	71	38	81	71	70	67*
Newtown-Enmore	74	70	70	70	NA	61	72	69	66*
Rozelle-Lilyfield	92	73	69	73	NA	74	74*	73	67*
Stanmore-Camperdown ²	63	70	68*	71	NA	63	73*	70*	68*
Summer Hill	68	69	68*	68	NA	83	72	60*	65*
Sydenham-Tempe-St Peters	72	58	61*	56	64	78	57	59*	54*

NEIGHBOURHOOD LIVEABILITY

ANNANDALE HAS THE HIGHEST LIVEABILITY PX OF 74

SYDENHAM-TEMPE-ST PETERS HAS THE LOWEST LIVEABILITY PX OF 58

This page identifies how each place dimension is performing as well as the best and worse performing attributes for each neighbourhood. Each Place Dimension is scored out of 20 with a total PX rated out of 100.

LGA AVERAGE

69
/100

LOOK & FUNCTION



SENSE OF WELCOME



THINGS TO DO



UNIQUENESS



CARE



YOUR HIGHEST RATED PLACE ATTRIBUTES

The following place attributes rated highest overall:

- *1 Connectivity (proximity to other neighbourhoods, employment centres, shops etc.)
- *2 Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)
- *3 Welcoming to all people

YOUR LOWEST RATED PLACE ATTRIBUTES

The following place attributes rated most poorly overall:

- *50 Range of housing prices and tenures (low to high \$, buy or rent etc.)
- *49 Sustainable urban design (water sensitive design, transport-oriented design, sustainable building design, density etc.)
- *48 Ease of driving and parking

ANNANDALE

74

LOOK & FUNCTION



SENSE OF WELCOME



THINGS TO DO



UNIQUENESS



CARE



YOUR HIGHEST RATED PLACE ATTRIBUTES

The following place attributes rated highest overall:

- *1 Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)
- *2 Connectivity (proximity to other neighbourhoods, employment centres, shops etc.)
- *3 Local businesses that provide for daily needs (grocery stores, pharmacy, banks etc.)

YOUR LOWEST RATED PLACE ATTRIBUTES

The following place attributes rated most poorly overall:

- *50 Range of housing prices and tenures (low to high \$, buy or rent etc.)
- *49 Evidence of recent public investment (roads, parks, schools etc.)
- *48 Evidence of Council/government management (signage, street cleaners etc.)

ASHFIELD AND SURROUNDS

61

LOOK & FUNCTION



SENSE OF WELCOME



THINGS TO DO



UNIQUENESS



CARE



YOUR HIGHEST RATED PLACE ATTRIBUTES

The following place attributes rated highest overall:

- *1 Connectivity (proximity to other neighbourhoods, employment centres, shops etc.)
- *2 Welcoming to all people
- *3 Mix or diversity of people in the area

YOUR LOWEST RATED PLACE ATTRIBUTES

The following place attributes rated most poorly overall:

- *50 Sustainable urban design (water sensitive design, transport-oriented design, sustainable building design, density etc.)
- *49 Things to do in the evening (bars, dining, cinema, live music etc.)
- *48 Sustainable behaviours in the community (water management, solar panels, recycling etc.)

BALMAIN AND SURROUNDS

74

LOOK & FUNCTION



SENSE OF WELCOME



THINGS TO DO



UNIQUENESS



CARE



YOUR HIGHEST RATED PLACE ATTRIBUTES

The following place attributes rated highest overall:

- *1 Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)
- *2 Local history, historic buildings or features
- *3 Sense of character or identity that is different from other neighbourhoods

YOUR LOWEST RATED PLACE ATTRIBUTES

The following place attributes rated most poorly overall:

- *50 Ease of driving and parking
- *49 Family and community services (aged, disability and home care, protection and support services etc.)
- *48 Range of housing prices and tenures (low to high \$, buy or rent etc.)

NEIGHBOURHOOD LIVEABILITY

DULWICH HILL

67

LOOK & FUNCTION



14

SENSE OF WELCOME



14

THINGS TO DO



13

UNIQUENESS



13

CARE



13

YOUR HIGHEST RATED PLACE ATTRIBUTES

The following place attributes rated highest overall:

- *1 Connectivity (proximity to other neighbourhoods, employment centres, shops etc.)
- *2 Mix or diversity of people in the area
- *3 Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)

YOUR LOWEST RATED PLACE ATTRIBUTES

The following place attributes rated most poorly overall:

- *50 Range of housing prices and tenures (low to high \$, buy or rent etc.)
- *49 Sustainable urban design (water sensitive design, transport-oriented design, sustainable building design, density etc.)
- *48 Evidence of recent public investment (roads, parks, schools etc.)

HABERFIELD¹

71

LOOK & FUNCTION



15

SENSE OF WELCOME



14

THINGS TO DO



14

UNIQUENESS



15

CARE



13

YOUR HIGHEST RATED PLACE ATTRIBUTES

The following place attributes rated highest overall:

- *1 Sense of character or identity that is different from other neighbourhoods
- *2 Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)
- *3 Sense of personal safety (for all ages, genders, day or night)

YOUR LOWEST RATED PLACE ATTRIBUTES

The following place attributes rated most poorly overall:

- *50 Range of housing prices and tenures (low to high \$, buy or rent etc.)
- *49 Things to do in the evening (bars, dining, cinema, live music etc.)
- *48 Evidence of recent public investment (roads, parks, schools etc.)

LEICHHARDT

69

LOOK & FUNCTION



14

SENSE OF WELCOME



14

THINGS TO DO



14

UNIQUENESS



14

CARE



13

YOUR HIGHEST RATED PLACE ATTRIBUTES

The following place attributes rated highest overall:

- *1 Connectivity (proximity to other neighbourhoods, employment centres, shops etc.)
- *2 Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)
- *3 Welcoming to all people

YOUR LOWEST RATED PLACE ATTRIBUTES

The following place attributes rated most poorly overall:

- *50 Ease of driving and parking
- *49 Sustainable urban design (water sensitive design, transport-oriented design, sustainable building design, density etc.)
- *48 Range of housing prices and tenures (low to high \$, buy or rent etc.)

LEWISHAM-PETERSHAM

66

LOOK & FUNCTION



14

SENSE OF WELCOME



13

THINGS TO DO



13

UNIQUENESS



13

CARE



13

YOUR HIGHEST RATED PLACE ATTRIBUTES

The following place attributes rated highest overall:

- *1 Connectivity (proximity to other neighbourhoods, employment centres, shops etc.)
- *2 There are people like me (age, gender, interests, ethnic backgrounds etc.)
- *3 Welcoming to all people

YOUR LOWEST RATED PLACE ATTRIBUTES

The following place attributes rated most poorly overall:

- *50 Range of housing prices and tenures (low to high \$, buy or rent etc.)
- *49 Sustainable urban design (water sensitive design, transport-oriented design, sustainable building design, density etc.)
- *48 Ease of driving and parking

NEIGHBOURHOOD LIVEABILITY

MARRICKVILLE

69

LOOK & FUNCTION



SENSE OF WELCOME



THINGS TO DO



UNIQUENESS



CARE



YOUR HIGHEST RATED PLACE ATTRIBUTES

The following place attributes rated highest overall:

- *1 Mix or diversity of people in the area
- *2 Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)
- *3 Local businesses that provide for daily needs (grocery stores, pharmacy, banks etc.)

YOUR LOWEST RATED PLACE ATTRIBUTES

The following place attributes rated most poorly overall:

- *50 Ease of driving and parking
- *49 Range of housing prices and tenures (low to high \$, buy or rent etc.)
- *48 Child services (child care, early learning, after school care, medical etc.)

NEWTOWN-ENMORE

70

LOOK & FUNCTION



SENSE OF WELCOME



THINGS TO DO



UNIQUENESS



CARE



YOUR HIGHEST RATED PLACE ATTRIBUTES

The following place attributes rated highest overall:

- *1 Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)
- *2 Things to do in the evening (bars, dining, cinema, live music etc.)
- *3 Connectivity (proximity to other neighbourhoods, employment centres, shops etc.)

YOUR LOWEST RATED PLACE ATTRIBUTES

The following place attributes rated most poorly overall:

- *50 Ease of driving and parking
- *49 Range of housing prices and tenures (low to high \$, buy or rent etc.)
- *48 Evidence of recent public investment (roads, parks, schools etc.)

ROZELLE-LILYFIELD

73

LOOK & FUNCTION



SENSE OF WELCOME



THINGS TO DO



UNIQUENESS



CARE



YOUR HIGHEST RATED PLACE ATTRIBUTES

The following place attributes rated highest overall:

- *1 Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)
- *2 Sense of personal safety (for all ages, genders, day or night)
- *3 Welcoming to all people

YOUR LOWEST RATED PLACE ATTRIBUTES

The following place attributes rated most poorly overall:

- *50 Range of housing prices and tenures (low to high \$, buy or rent etc.)
- *49 Evidence of recent public investment (roads, parks, schools etc.)
- *48 Sustainable urban design (water sensitive design, transport-oriented design, sustainable building design, density etc.)

STANMORE-CAMPERDOWN¹

70

LOOK & FUNCTION



SENSE OF WELCOME



THINGS TO DO



UNIQUENESS



CARE



YOUR HIGHEST RATED PLACE ATTRIBUTES

The following place attributes rated highest overall:

- *1 Connectivity (proximity to other neighbourhoods, employment centres, shops etc.)
- *2 Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)
- *3 There are people like me (age, gender, interests, ethnic backgrounds etc.)

YOUR LOWEST RATED PLACE ATTRIBUTES

The following place attributes rated most poorly overall:

- *50 Range of housing prices and tenures (low to high \$, buy or rent etc.)
- *49 Sustainable urban design (water sensitive design, transport-oriented design, sustainable building design, density etc.)
- *48 Ease of driving and parking

NEIGHBOURHOOD LIVEABILITY

SUMMER HILL

69

LOOK & FUNCTION



14

SENSE OF WELCOME



14

THINGS TO DO



14

UNIQUENESS



14

CARE



13

YOUR HIGHEST RATED PLACE ATTRIBUTES

The following place attributes rated highest overall:

- *1 Connectivity (proximity to other neighbourhoods, employment centres, shops etc.)
- *2 Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)
- *3 There are people like me (age, gender, interests, ethnic backgrounds etc.)

YOUR LOWEST RATED PLACE ATTRIBUTES

The following place attributes rated most poorly overall:

- *50 Ease of driving and parking
- *49 Sustainable urban design (water sensitive design, transport-oriented design, sustainable building design, density etc.)
- *48 Range of housing prices and tenures (low to high \$, buy or rent etc.)

SYDENHAM- TEMPE-ST PETERS

58

LOOK & FUNCTION



11

SENSE OF WELCOME



12

THINGS TO DO



12

UNIQUENESS



12

CARE



11

YOUR HIGHEST RATED PLACE ATTRIBUTES

The following place attributes rated highest overall:

- *1 There are people like me (age, gender, interests, ethnic backgrounds etc.)
- *2 Mix or diversity of people in the area
- *3 Welcoming to all people

YOUR LOWEST RATED PLACE ATTRIBUTES

The following place attributes rated most poorly overall:

- *50 Physical comfort (including noise, smells, temperature etc.)
- *49 Ease of driving and parking
- *48 Sustainable urban design (water sensitive design, transport-oriented design, sustainable building design, density etc.)

NEIGHBOURHOOD PROFILES

THIS SECTION PROVIDES A SUMMARY OF DATA
COLLECTED FOR SELECTED NEIGHBOURHOODS IN
YOUR LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA.

EACH PROFILE INCLUDES:

A - NEIGHBOURHOOD STRENGTHS AND PRIORITIES

B - NEIGHBOURHOOD TOP 10 CARE FACTORS

C - NEIGHBOURHOOD LIVEABILITY

D - NEIGHBOURHOOD COMMUNITY IDEAS FOR CHANGE


NEIGHBOURHOOD PROFILES: INTRODUCTION

NEIGHBOURHOOD PROFILES HAVE BEEN PROVIDED FOR:

NEIGHBOURHOOD:	INCLUDING:
Annandale	Annandale
Ashfield and Surrounds	Ashbury, Ashfield, Croydon, Croydon Park, Hurlstone Park
Balmain and Surrounds	Balmain, Balmain East, Birchgrove
Dulwich Hill	Dulwich Hill
Haberfield	Haberfield
Leichhardt	Leichhardt
Lewisham-Petersham	Lewisham, Petersham
Marrickville	Marrickville
Newtown-Enmore	Newtown, Enmore
Rozelle-Lilyfield	Rozelle, Lilyfield
Stanmore-Camperdown	Stanmore, Camperdown
Summer Hill	Summer Hill
Sydenham-Tempe-St Peters	Sydenham, Tempe, St Peters

EACH NEIGHBOURHOOD PROFILE INCLUDES:

- A - Neighbourhood Strengths and Priorities
- B - Neighbourhood Top 10 Care Factors
- C - Neighbourhood Liveability
- D - Neighbourhood Community Ideas for Change



NEIGHBOURHOOD PROFILE

1. ANNANDALE

1A ANNANDALE STRENGTHS AND PRIORITIES

These tables and graph illustrate your neighbourhood strengths, liveability improvement priorities and secondary priorities.

STRENGTHS should be celebrated and protected.


LIVEABILITY IMPROVEMENT PRIORITIES identify the aspects of your neighbourhood that are important to people but are currently underperforming. Improving these attributes will have the most significant impact on your community.

SECONDARY PRIORITIES identify attributes to look-out for, they are negatively affecting liveability and can become more significant issues if more people start caring about them.

CF	NEIGHBOURHOOD STRENGTHS
2	Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)
7	Elements of natural environment (natural features, views, vegetation, topography, water, wildlife etc.)
2	Local businesses that provide for daily needs (grocery stores, pharmacy, banks etc.)
10	Walking/jogging/bike paths that connect housing to communal amenity (shops, parks etc.)
6	Access and safety of walking, cycling and/or public transport (signage, paths, lighting etc.)
8	Sense of belonging in the community

LEGEND

- Horizontal: Top 10 CF threshold
- Diagonal: Threshold showing attributes which PX rating is performing 10 pts worse than their CF ranking! ($PX=CF+10$)
- Equal CF rank and PX Score ($PX=CF$)



CF	LIVEABILITY IMPROVEMENT PRIORITIES
5	Protection of the natural environment
1	General condition of public open space (street trees, footpaths, parks etc.)
4	Sense of neighbourhood safety (from crime, traffic, pollution etc.)
9	Landscaping and natural elements (street trees, planting, water features etc.)

CF	SECONDARY PRIORITIES
16	Evidence of Council/government management (signage, street cleaners etc.)
14	Things to do in the evening (bars, dining, cinema, live music etc.)
11	Quality of public space (footpaths, verges, parks etc.)
29	Evidence of recent public investment (roads, parks, schools etc.)
23	Sustainable behaviours in the community (water management, solar panels, recycling etc.)
33	Sustainable urban design (water sensitive design, transport-oriented design, sustainable building design, density etc.)

1B ANNANDALE TOP 10 CARE FACTORS

WHAT RESIDENTS MOST CARE ABOUT

Neighbourhood attributes are ranked based on how many people selected each attribute as being important to them in their 'ideal neighbourhood'.

RANK	ATTRIBUTE	% OF PEOPLE
#1	General condition of public open space (street trees, footpaths, parks etc.)	77% CARE
#2	Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)	60% THINGS TO DO
#2	Local businesses that provide for daily needs (grocery stores, pharmacy, banks etc.)	60% SENSE OF WELCOME
#4	Sense of neighbourhood safety (from crime, traffic, pollution etc.)	56% SENSE OF WELCOME
#5	Protection of the natural environment	52% CARE
#6	Access and safety of walking, cycling and/or public transport (signage, paths, lighting etc.)	49% SENSE OF WELCOME
#7	Elements of natural environment (natural features, views, vegetation, topography, water, wildlife etc.)	48% UNIQUE
#8	Sense of belonging in the community	47% UNIQUE
#9	Landscaping and natural elements (street trees, planting, water features etc.)	46% LOOK & FUNCTION
#10	Walking/jogging/bike paths that connect housing to communal amenity (shops, parks etc.)	45% THINGS TO DO

LEGEND


- #1 attribute
- #2 attribute
- #3 attribute
- #1 Different from LGA top 10 CF

DEMOGRAPHIC BREAKDOWN¹

ALL	96	#1	#2	#2	#4	#5	#6	#7	#8	#9	#10	Highest rated attributes if not in the overall top ten
Male	24	83%	67%	54%	46%	46%	71%	58%	38%	42%	46%	
Female	72	75%	58%	62%	60%	54%	42%	44%	50%	47%	44%	
Age												
0-24	4	50%	50%	50%	100%	50%	75%	50%	0%	50%	50%	
25-44	35	69%	54%	63%	57%	49%	34%	54%	43%	54%	40%	
45-64	30	90%	57%	60%	63%	57%	57%	40%	60%	50%	67%	
65+	27	78%	74%	59%	41%	52%	56%	48%	44%	30%	26%	
Country of birth (Top 3)												
Australia	71	79%	63%	63%	56%	58%	48%	49%	38%	49%	42%	
New Zealand	4	75%	50%	50%	75%	0%	50%	75%	75%	25%	25%	
United Kingdom	3	67%	33%	33%	67%	33%	33%	33%	100%	67%	67%	
Ancestry (Top 3)												
Australasian	50	82%	64%	64%	60%	46%	54%	52%	46%	50%	38%	
European (including United Kingdom)	30	80%	53%	73%	60%	53%	43%	37%	43%	40%	53%	
Mixed	10	30%	60%	20%	40%	70%	40%	40%	60%	50%	40%	Evidence of community activity (volunteering, gardening, art, community-organised events etc.) (80%), Mix or diversity of people in the area (70%)

1C ANNANDALE LIVEABILITY

RESIDENTS GAVE THEIR
NEIGHBOURHOOD A SCORE OF:



PLACE DIMENSIONS

Your PX Score is made up of 5 key place dimensions, each rated out of 20, that influence people's attraction and attachment to place.

LOOK & FUNCTION



SENSE OF WELCOME



THINGS TO DO



UNIQUENESS



CARE



WHAT IS IMPACTING OUR LIVED EXPERIENCE TODAY

These tables identify the highest and lowest rated attributes that are contributing to neighbourhood liveability.

RATE	TOP 5 LIVEABILITY CONTRIBUTORS
#1	Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)
#2	Connectivity (proximity to other neighbourhoods, employment centres, shops etc.)
#3	Local businesses that provide for daily needs (grocery stores, pharmacy, banks etc.)
#4	Sense of personal safety (for all ages, genders, day or night)
#5	Welcoming to all people

RATE	BOTTOM 5 LIVEABILITY CONTRIBUTORS
#50	Range of housing prices and tenures (low to high \$, buy or rent etc.)
#49	Evidence of recent public investment (roads, parks, schools etc.)
#48	Evidence of Council/government management (signage, street cleaners etc.)
#47	Local employment opportunities (within easy commute)
#46	Family and community services (aged, disability and home care, protection and support services etc.)

LIVEABILITY BY DEMOGRAPHIC

This table identifies the Neighbourhood PX Scores as rated by different demographic groups.

Total PX Score	Men	Women	Diff. Identity	0-24	25-44	45-64	65+
74	76*	74	NA	79	77*	76*	70*

LEGEND


- Under 10 respondents
- PX 70+ Performing well
- PX 50-69 Room for improvement
- PX <50 Urgent care needed

1D ANNANDALE IDEAS FOR CHANGE (1/2)

COMMON COMMUNITY IDEAS INCLUDE MORE AND/OR BETTER GREEN SPACES, MAINTENANCE AND ACTIVE TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE

Place Score asked survey respondents 'What is your small or big idea to make your neighbourhood a better place?' and 'What's missing in your neighbourhood that would make it a better place to live?'



101 answers were collected in Annandale. Here is what you community said:



1D ANNANDALE IDEAS FOR CHANGE (2/2)

LESS THAN 20% OF IDEAS RELATED TO THESE THEMES


Place Score asked survey respondents 'What is your small or big idea to make your neighbourhood a better place?' and 'What's missing in your neighbourhood that would make it a better place to live?' 101 answers were collected in Annandale. Here is what you community said:



PUBLIC DOMAIN

- Improve comfort (noise, smell, temperature etc.) (8.9%)
- More and/or better open spaces and/or furniture (7.9%)


17 answers (16.8%) referred to the public domain



SOCIAL CONNECTIONS & SAFETY

- Improve sense of safety and/or physical safety (13.8%)
- Improve sense of belonging and interactions between residents (1%)
- More and/or better consideration and inclusion of diversity (1%)

16 answers (17.8%) referred to social connections and safety



CHARACTER

- Celebrate and/or protect the neighbourhood's identity (6.9%)
- Celebrate and/or protect heritage (3%)
- Improve overall quality of public domain (2%)


9 answers (8.9%) referred to character



BUILT FORM

- Improve appearance of built form (4%)
- Improve transitions and/or relationship between interfaces (1%)
- Limit heights (1%)

6 answers (5.9%) referred to the built form



HOUSING

- Improve housing affordability (2%)
- Maintain range of housing types and sizes (1%)

3 answers (3%) referred to housing

NEIGHBOURHOOD PROFILE

2. ASHFIELD AND SURROUNDS

(INCLUDING ASHBURY, ASHFIELD, CROYDON, CROYDON PARK, HURLSTONE PARK)

2A ASHFIELD AND SURROUNDS

STRENGTHS AND PRIORITIES


These tables and graph illustrate your neighbourhood strengths, liveability improvement priorities and secondary priorities.

STRENGTHS should be celebrated and protected.

LIVEABILITY IMPROVEMENT PRIORITIES identify the aspects of your neighbourhood that are important to people but are currently underperforming. Improving these attributes will have the most significant impact on your community.

SECONDARY PRIORITIES identify attributes to look-out for, they are negatively affecting liveability and can become more significant issues if more people start caring about them.

CF	NEIGHBOURHOOD STRENGTHS
8	Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)
6	Sense of personal safety (for all ages, genders, day or night)
9	Access to shared community and commercial assets (library, bike/car share, sport facilities/gyms etc.)



LEGEND

- Horizontal: Top 10 CF threshold
- Diagonal: Threshold showing attributes which PX rating is performing 10 pts worse than their CF ranking! (PX=CF+10)
- Equal CF rank and PX Score (PX=CF)

CF	LIVEABILITY IMPROVEMENT PRIORITIES
2	Elements of natural environment (natural features, views, vegetation, topography, water, wildlife etc.)
3	Walking/jogging/bike paths that connect housing to communal amenity (shops, parks etc.)
9	Protection of the natural environment
1	General condition of public open space (street trees, footpaths, parks etc.)
3	Quality of public space (footpaths, verges, parks etc.)
3	Access and safety of walking, cycling and/or public transport (signage, paths, lighting etc.)
7	Landscaping and natural elements (street trees, planting, water features etc.)

CF	SECONDARY PRIORITIES
12	Sustainable urban design (water sensitive design, transport-oriented design, sustainable building design, density etc.)
14	Sustainable behaviours in the community (water management, solar panels, recycling etc.)
17	Things to do in the evening (bars, dining, cinema, live music etc.)

2B ASHFIELD AND SURROUNDS TOP 10 CARE FACTORS

WHAT RESIDENTS MOST CARE ABOUT

Neighbourhood attributes are ranked based on how many people selected each attribute as being important to them in their 'ideal neighbourhood'.

RANK	ATTRIBUTE	% OF PEOPLE
#1	General condition of public open space (street trees, footpaths, parks etc.)	67% CARE
#2	Elements of natural environment (natural features, views, vegetation, topography, water, wildlife etc.)	53% UNIQUE
#3	Access and safety of walking, cycling and/or public transport (signage, paths, lighting etc.)	50% SENSE OF WELCOME
#3	Quality of public space (footpaths, verges, parks etc.)	50% LOOK & FUNCTION
#3	Walking/jogging/bike paths that connect housing to communal amenity (shops, parks etc.)	50% THINGS TO DO
#6	Sense of personal safety (for all ages, genders, day or night)	49% SENSE OF WELCOME
#7	Landscaping and natural elements (street trees, planting, water features etc.)	47% LOOK & FUNCTION
#8	Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)	46% THINGS TO DO
#9	Access to shared community and commercial assets (library, bike/car share, sport facilities/gyms etc.)	43% SENSE OF WELCOME
#9	Protection of the natural environment	43% CARE


LEGEND

- #1 attribute
- #2 attribute
- #3 attribute
- #1 Different from LGA top 10 CF

DEMOGRAPHIC BREAKDOWN ¹											
ALL	260	#1	#2	#3	#3	#3	#6	#7	#8	#9	#9
Highest rated attributes if not in the overall top ten											
Male	100	67%	52%	47%	42%	54%	45%	43%	43%	45%	49%
Female	160	66%	54%	51%	54%	48%	51%	50%	48%	42%	39%
Age											
0-24	8	62%	50%	38%	25%	25%	62%	50%	50%	12%	25%
25-44	130	64%	60%	50%	52%	52%	46%	42%	47%	45%	44%
45-64	93	72%	48%	48%	48%	45%	48%	52%	39%	45%	42%
65+	29	62%	41%	55%	52%	66%	59%	55%	62%	38%	48%
Country of birth (Top 3)											
Australia	182	65%	54%	50%	52%	50%	50%	45%	46%	42%	45%
United Kingdom	15	73%	40%	60%	20%	67%	47%	53%	53%	40%	40%
Things to do in the evening (bars, dining, cinema, live music etc.) (60%)											
China	8	88%	75%	25%	75%	50%	88%	62%	50%	62%	38%
Ancestry (Top 3)											
European (including United Kingdom)	100	62%	47%	56%	47%	56%	48%	49%	44%	43%	40%
Australasian	90	73%	62%	48%	52%	51%	50%	42%	54%	43%	46%
Asian	28	82%	43%	43%	57%	36%	46%	57%	54%	46%	29%

2C ASHFIELD AND SURROUNDS LIVEABILITY

RESIDENTS GAVE THEIR
NEIGHBOURHOOD A SCORE OF:



PLACE DIMENSIONS

Your PX Score is made up of 5 key place dimensions, each rated out of 20, that influence people's attraction and attachment to place.

LOOK & FUNCTION



SENSE OF WELCOME



THINGS TO DO



UNIQUENESS



CARE



WHAT IS IMPACTING OUR LIVED EXPERIENCE TODAY

These tables identify the highest and lowest rated attributes that are contributing to neighbourhood liveability.

RATE	TOP 5 LIVEABILITY CONTRIBUTORS
#1	Connectivity (proximity to other neighbourhoods, employment centres, shops etc.)
#2	Welcoming to all people
#3	Mix or diversity of people in the area
#4	Local businesses that provide for daily needs (grocery stores, pharmacy, banks etc.)
#5	There are people like me (age, gender, interests, ethnic backgrounds etc.)

RATE	BOTTOM 5 LIVEABILITY CONTRIBUTORS
#50	Sustainable urban design (water sensitive design, transport-oriented design, sustainable building design, density etc.)
#49	Things to do in the evening (bars, dining, cinema, live music etc.)
#48	Sustainable behaviours in the community (water management, solar panels, recycling etc.)
#47	Unusual or unique buildings and/or public space design
#46	Evidence of recent public investment (roads, parks, schools etc.)

LIVEABILITY BY DEMOGRAPHIC

This table identifies the Neighbourhood PX Scores as rated by different demographic groups.

Total PX Score	Men	Women	Intersex/Unspecified	0-24	25-44	45-64	65+
61	56	62	NA	67	60	59	62*

LEGEND

- Under 10 respondents
- PX 70+ Performing well
- PX 50-69 Room for improvement
- PX <50 Urgent care needed

2D ASHFIELD AND SURROUNDS IDEAS FOR CHANGE (1/2)

COMMON COMMUNITY IDEAS INCLUDE MORE AND/OR BETTER GREEN SPACES, ACTIVE TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE AND MAINTENANCE

Place Score asked survey respondents *'What is your small or big idea to make your neighbourhood a better place?'* and *'What's missing in your neighbourhood that would make it a better place to live?'*

253 answers were collected in Ashfield and Surrounds. Here is what you community said:



2D ASHFIELD AND SURROUNDS IDEAS FOR CHANGE (2/2)

LESS THAN 20% OF COMMUNITY IDEAS RELATED TO THESE THEMES

Place Score asked survey respondents *'What is your small or big idea to make your neighbourhood a better place?'* and *'What's missing in your neighbourhood that would make it a better place to live?'*

253 answers were collected in Ashfield and Surrounds. Here is what you community said:



NEIGHBOURHOOD PROFILE

3. BALMAIN AND SURROUNDS

(INCLUDING BALMAIN, BALMAIN EAST, BIRCHGROVE)

3A BALMAIN AND SURROUNDS STRENGTHS AND PRIORITIES


These tables and graph illustrate your neighbourhood strengths, liveability improvement priorities and secondary priorities.

STRENGTHS should be celebrated and protected.

LIVEABILITY IMPROVEMENT PRIORITIES identify the aspects of your neighbourhood that are important to people but are currently underperforming. Improving these attributes will have the most significant impact on your community.

SECONDARY PRIORITIES identify attributes to look-out for, they are negatively affecting liveability and can become more significant issues if more people start caring about them.

CF	NEIGHBOURHOOD STRENGTHS
8	Local history, historic buildings or features
8	Sense of personal safety (for all ages, genders, day or night)
2	Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)
3	Elements of natural environment (natural features, views, vegetation, topography, water, wildlife etc.)
6	Overall visual character of the neighbourhood



LEGEND

- Horizontal: Top 10 CF threshold
- Diagonal: Threshold showing attributes which PX rating is performing 10 pts worse than their CF ranking! (PX=CF+10)
- Equal CF rank and PX Score (PX=CF)

CF	LIVEABILITY IMPROVEMENT PRIORITIES
1	General condition of public open space (street trees, footpaths, parks etc.)
10	Quality of public space (footpaths, verges, parks etc.)
5	Things to do in the evening (bars, dining, cinema, live music etc.)
7	Access and safety of walking, cycling and/or public transport (signage, paths, lighting etc.)
10	Landscaping and natural elements (street trees, planting, water features etc.)
4	Local businesses that provide for daily needs (grocery stores, pharmacy, banks etc.)

CF	SECONDARY PRIORITIES
20	Evidence of recent public investment (roads, parks, schools etc.)
22	Sustainable behaviours in the community (water management, solar panels, recycling etc.)
17	Protection of the natural environment
24	Evidence of Council/government management (signage, street cleaners etc.)

3B BALMAIN AND SURROUNDS TOP 10 CARE FACTORS

WHAT RESIDENTS MOST CARE ABOUT

Neighbourhood attributes are ranked based on how many people selected each attribute as being important to them in their 'ideal neighbourhood'.

LEGEND


- #1 attribute
- #2 attribute
- #3 attribute
- #1 Different from LGA top 10 CF

RANK	ATTRIBUTE	% OF PEOPLE
#1	General condition of public open space (street trees, footpaths, parks etc.)	73% CARE
#2	Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)	66% THINGS TO DO
#3	Elements of natural environment (natural features, views, vegetation, topography, water, wildlife etc.)	64% UNIQUE
#4	Local businesses that provide for daily needs (grocery stores, pharmacy, banks etc.)	60% SENSE OF WELCOME
#5	Things to do in the evening (bars, dining, cinema, live music etc.)	52% THINGS TO DO
#6	Overall visual character of the neighbourhood	51% LOOK & FUNCTION
#7	Access and safety of walking, cycling and/or public transport (signage, paths, lighting etc.)	47% SENSE OF WELCOME
#8	Local history, historic buildings or features	46% UNIQUE
#8	Sense of personal safety (for all ages, genders, day or night)	46% SENSE OF WELCOME
#10	Landscaping and natural elements (street trees, planting, water features etc.)	45% LOOK & FUNCTION

DEMOGRAPHIC BREAKDOWN ¹											
ALL	132	#1	#2	#3	#4	#5	#6	#7	#8	#10	Highest rated attributes if not in the overall top ten
Male	50	70%	54%	70%	52%	50%	44%	42%	52%	54%	52%
Female	81	74%	74%	62%	65%	53%	56%	51%	42%	41%	40%
Age											
0-24	2	0%	0%	50%	50%	100%	50%	0%	50%	0%	0%
25-44	26	62%	69%	69%	65%	62%	54%	35%	35%	54%	42%
45-64	72	74%	65%	62%	65%	56%	50%	57%	47%	46%	47%
65+	32	84%	69%	66%	44%	34%	50%	38%	53%	44%	44%
Country of birth (Top 3)											
Australia	85	73%	65%	67%	60%	52%	54%	44%	45%	41%	44%
United Kingdom	21	67%	71%	62%	52%	67%	57%	33%	48%	48%	43%
South Africa	4	75%	50%	50%	75%	50%	25%	100%	0%	75%	75%
Ancestry (Top 3)											
European (including United Kingdom)	65	74%	72%	68%	55%	55%	51%	52%	40%	49%	51%
Australasian	55	73%	58%	69%	64%	49%	53%	44%	51%	44%	38%
Mixed	5	40%	40%	40%	40%	60%	60%	40%	40%	40%	20%

3C BALMAIN AND SURROUNDS LIVEABILITY

RESIDENTS GAVE THEIR
NEIGHBOURHOOD A SCORE OF:



PLACE DIMENSIONS

Your PX Score is made up of 5 key place dimensions, each rated out of 20, that influence people's attraction and attachment to place.

LOOK & FUNCTION



SENSE OF WELCOME



THINGS TO DO



UNIQUENESS



CARE



WHAT IS IMPACTING OUR LIVED EXPERIENCE TODAY

These tables identify the highest and lowest rated attributes that are contributing to neighbourhood liveability.

RATE	TOP 5 LIVEABILITY CONTRIBUTORS
#1	Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)
#2	Local history, historic buildings or features
#3	Sense of character or identity that is different from other neighbourhoods
#4	Sense of personal safety (for all ages, genders, day or night)
#5	Elements of natural environment (natural features, views, vegetation, topography, water, wildlife etc.)

RATE	BOTTOM 5 LIVEABILITY CONTRIBUTORS
#50	Ease of driving and parking
#49	Family and community services (aged, disability and home care, protection and support services etc.)
#48	Range of housing prices and tenures (low to high \$, buy or rent etc.)
#47	Evidence of recent public investment (roads, parks, schools etc.)
#46	Evidence of Council/government management (signage, street cleaners etc.)

LIVEABILITY BY DEMOGRAPHIC

This table identifies the Neighbourhood PX Scores as rated by different demographic groups.

Total PX Score	Men	Women	Intersex/ Unspecified	0-24	25-44	45-64	65+
74	74	72	NA	55	75*	74	70

LEGEND

- Under 10 respondents
- PX 70+ Performing well
- PX 50-69 Room for improvement
- PX <50 Urgent care needed

3D BALMAIN AND SURROUNDS IDEAS FOR CHANGE (1/2)

COMMON COMMUNITY IDEAS INCLUDE MORE AND/OR BETTER GREEN SPACES, ACTIVE TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE AND MAINTENANCE

Place Score asked survey respondents *'What is your small or big idea to make your neighbourhood a better place?'* and *'What's missing in your neighbourhood that would make it a better place to live?'*

170 answers were collected in Balmain and Surrounds. Here is what you community said:




3D BALMAIN AND SURROUNDS IDEAS FOR CHANGE (2/2)

LESS THAN 20% OF IDEAS RELATED TO THESE THEMES

Place Score asked survey respondents *'What is your small or big idea to make your neighbourhood a better place?'* and *'What's missing in your neighbourhood that would make it a better place to live?'*

170 answers were collected in Balmain and Surrounds. Here is what you community said:



SOCIAL CONNECTIONS AND SAFETY



- Improve sense of safety and/or physical safety (7.1%)
- Improve sense of belonging and interactions between residents (4.1%)
- More and/or better consideration and inclusion of diversity (2.4%)

22 answers (12.9%) referred to social connections and safety

FACILITIES



- More and/or better play and sports facilities (5.3%)
- More and/or better arts and culture facilities (4.7%)
- More and/or better community facilities (1.2%)
- More and/or better education and childcare facilities (1.2%)
- More and/or better health related facilities (0.6%)

20 answers (11.8%) referred to facilities

CHARACTER



- Celebrate and/or protect the neighbourhood's identity (5.3%)
- Celebrate and/or protect heritage (4.7%)
- Improve overall quality of public domain (1.8%)

16 answers (9.41%) referred to character

BUILT FORM



- Improve appearance of built form (1.8%)
- Limit heights (1.2%)

5 answers (2.9%) referred to the built form

HOUSING



- Improve housing affordability (2.4%)
- Maintain range of housing types and sizes (0.6%)

5 answers (2.9%) referred to housing

NEIGHBOURHOOD PROFILE

4. DULWICH HILL

4A DULWICH HILL STRENGTHS AND PRIORITIES


These tables and graph illustrate your neighbourhood strengths, liveability improvement priorities and secondary priorities.

STRENGTHS should be celebrated and protected.

LIVEABILITY IMPROVEMENT PRIORITIES identify the aspects of your neighbourhood that are important to people but are currently underperforming. Improving these attributes will have the most significant impact on your community.

SECONDARY PRIORITIES identify attributes to look-out for, they are negatively affecting liveability and can become more significant issues if more people start caring about them.

CF	NEIGHBOURHOOD STRENGTHS
5	Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)
7	Access and safety of walking, cycling and/or public transport (signage, paths, lighting etc.)
2	Local businesses that provide for daily needs (grocery stores, pharmacy, banks etc.)
5	Locally owned and operated businesses



LEGEND

- Horizontal: Top 10 CF threshold
- Diagonal: Threshold showing attributes which PX rating is performing 10 pts worse than their CF ranking! (PX=CF+10)
- Equal CF rank and PX Score (PX=CF)

CF	LIVEABILITY IMPROVEMENT PRIORITIES
3	Protection of the natural environment
4	Things to do in the evening (bars, dining, cinema, live music etc.)
1	General condition of public open space (street trees, footpaths, parks etc.)
10	Quality of public space (footpaths, verges, parks etc.)
9	Landscaping and natural elements (street trees, planting, water features etc.)
8	Walking/jogging/bike paths that connect housing to communal amenity (shops, parks etc.)

CF	SECONDARY PRIORITIES
13	Sustainable behaviours in the community (water management, solar panels, recycling etc.)
20	Sustainable urban design (water sensitive design, transport-oriented design, sustainable building design, density etc.)
22	Evidence of recent public investment (roads, parks, schools etc.)
11	Elements of natural environment (natural features, views, vegetation, topography, water, wildlife etc.)

4B DULWICH HILL TOP 10 CARE FACTORS

WHAT RESIDENTS MOST CARE ABOUT

Neighbourhood attributes are ranked based on how many people selected each attribute as being important to them in their 'ideal neighbourhood'.

RANK	ATTRIBUTE	% OF PEOPLE
#1	General condition of public open space (street trees, footpaths, parks etc.)	63% CARE
#2	Local businesses that provide for daily needs (grocery stores, pharmacy, banks etc.)	58% SENSE OF WELCOME
#3	Protection of the natural environment	54% CARE
#4	Things to do in the evening (bars, dining, cinema, live music etc.)	52% THINGS TO DO
#5	Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)	51% THINGS TO DO
#5	Locally owned and operated businesses	51% UNIQUE
#7	Access and safety of walking, cycling and/or public transport (signage, paths, lighting etc.)	50% SENSE OF WELCOME
#8	Walking/jogging/bike paths that connect housing to communal amenity (shops, parks etc.)	48% THINGS TO DO
#9	Landscaping and natural elements (street trees, planting, water features etc.)	46% LOOK & FUNCTION
#10	Quality of public space (footpaths, verges, parks etc.)	45% LOOK & FUNCTION


LEGEND

- #1 attribute
- #2 attribute
- #3 attribute
- #1 Different from LGA top 10 CF

DEMOGRAPHIC BREAKDOWN ¹												
ALL	151	#1	#2	#3	#4	#5	#5	#7	#8	#9	#10	Highest rated attributes if not in the overall top ten
Male	47	68%	51%	60%	60%	55%	47%	43%	47%	36%	45%	
Female	102	60%	63%	50%	48%	49%	54%	55%	48%	50%	45%	
Age												
0-24	7	29%	57%	43%	71%	71%	57%	43%	29%	29%	14%	
25-44	56	70%	55%	52%	59%	52%	57%	39%	43%	38%	52%	
45-64	65	65%	58%	60%	54%	42%	46%	58%	54%	51%	40%	
65+	23	52%	65%	43%	22%	70%	48%	57%	48%	57%	52%	
Country of birth (Top 3)												
Australia	114	62%	58%	57%	55%	54%	51%	54%	46%	46%	42%	
United Kingdom	15	47%	53%	33%	40%	60%	53%	20%	33%	40%	40%	Overall visual character of the neighbourhood (60%), Sustainable urban design (water sensitive design, transport-oriented design, sustainable building design, density etc.) (60%)
Italy	3	100%	33%	67%	33%	0%	0%	33%	100%	67%	67%	
Ancestry (Top 3)												
Australasian	72	65%	54%	54%	46%	54%	60%	60%	46%	46%	40%	
European (including United Kingdom)	63	65%	62%	46%	60%	52%	43%	41%	49%	46%	48%	
Mixed	5	40%	40%	100%	60%	60%	40%	20%	20%	40%	40%	

4C DULWICH HILL LIVEABILITY

RESIDENTS GAVE THEIR
NEIGHBOURHOOD A SCORE OF:



PLACE DIMENSIONS

Your PX Score is made up of 5 key place dimensions, each rated out of 20, that influence people's attraction and attachment to place.

LOOK & FUNCTION



SENSE OF WELCOME



THINGS TO DO



UNIQUENESS



CARE



WHAT IS IMPACTING OUR LIVED EXPERIENCE TODAY









These tables identify the highest and lowest rated attributes that are contributing to neighbourhood liveability.

RATE	TOP 5 LIVEABILITY CONTRIBUTORS
#1	Connectivity (proximity to other neighbourhoods, employment centres, shops etc.)
#2	Mix or diversity of people in the area
#3	Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)
#4	Welcoming to all people
#5	Local businesses that provide for daily needs (grocery stores, pharmacy, banks etc.)

RATE	BOTTOM 5 LIVEABILITY CONTRIBUTORS
#50	Range of housing prices and tenures (low to high \$, buy or rent etc.)
#49	Sustainable urban design (water sensitive design, transport-oriented design, sustainable building design, density etc.)
#48	Evidence of recent public investment (roads, parks, schools etc.)
#47	Unusual or unique buildings and/or public space design
#46	Local employment opportunities (within easy commute)

LIVEABILITY BY DEMOGRAPHIC

This table identifies the Neighbourhood PX Scores as rated by different demographic groups.

							
Total PX Score	Men	Women	Diff. Identity	0-24	25-44	45-64	65+
67	67*	69	52	62	68*	67	70*

LEGEND

- Under 10 respondents
- PX 70+ Performing well
- PX 50-69 Room for improvement
- PX <50 Urgent care needed

4D DULWICH HILL IDEAS FOR CHANGE (1/2)

COMMON COMMUNITY IDEAS INCLUDE MORE AND/OR BETTER GREEN SPACES, ACTIVE TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE AND MAINTENANCE

Place Score asked survey respondents *'What is your small or big idea to make your neighbourhood a better place?'* and *'What's missing in your neighbourhood that would make it a better place to live?'*

166 answers were collected in Dulwich Hill. Here is what you community said:



4D DULWICH HILL IDEAS FOR CHANGE (2/2)

LESS THAN 20% OF IDEAS RELATED TO THESE THEMES

Place Score asked survey respondents 'What is your small or big idea to make your neighbourhood a better place?' and 'What's missing in your neighbourhood that would make it a better place to live?' 166 answers were collected in Dulwich Hill. Here is what you community said:



NEIGHBOURHOOD PROFILE

5. HABERFIELD

5A HABERFIELD STRENGTHS AND PRIORITIES

These tables and graph illustrate your neighbourhood strengths, liveability improvement priorities and secondary priorities.

STRENGTHS should be celebrated and protected.


LIVEABILITY IMPROVEMENT PRIORITIES identify the aspects of your neighbourhood that are important to people but are currently underperforming. Improving these attributes will have the most significant impact on your community.

SECONDARY PRIORITIES identify attributes to look-out for, they are negatively affecting liveability and can become more significant issues if more people start caring about them.

CF	NEIGHBOURHOOD STRENGTHS
7	Overall visual character of the neighbourhood
10	Spaces suitable for specific activities or special interests (entertainment, exercise, dog park, BBQs etc.)
2	Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)
9	Sense of belonging in the community
10	Elements of natural environment (natural features, views, vegetation, topography, water, wildlife etc.)
5	Landscaping and natural elements (street trees, planting, water features etc.)

LEGEND

- Horizontal: Top 10 CF threshold
- Diagonal: Threshold showing attributes which PX rating is performing 10 pts worse than their CF ranking! ($PX=CF+10$)
- Equal CF rank and PX Score ($PX=CF$)



Please note: these results should be used as a 'snapshot'. Care Factor and PX data samples for Haberfield are below the recommended standard 95% confidence level.

CF	LIVEABILITY IMPROVEMENT PRIORITIES
6	Protection of the natural environment
1	Access and safety of walking, cycling and/or public transport (signage, paths, lighting etc.)
4	General condition of public open space (street trees, footpaths, parks etc.)
8	Sense of neighbourhood safety (from crime, traffic, pollution etc.)
2	Local businesses that provide for daily needs (grocery stores, pharmacy, banks etc.)

CF	SECONDARY PRIORITIES
16	Sustainable behaviours in the community (water management, solar panels, recycling etc.)
20	Things to do in the evening (bars, dining, cinema, live music etc.)
25	Sustainable urban design (water sensitive design, transport-oriented design, sustainable building design, density etc.)
12	Quality of public space (footpaths, verges, parks etc.)
26	Evidence of Council/government management (signage, street cleaners etc.)

5B HABERFIELD TOP 10 CARE FACTORS

WHAT RESIDENTS MOST CARE ABOUT

Neighbourhood attributes are ranked based on how many people selected each attribute as being important to them in their 'ideal neighbourhood'.

Please note: these results should be used as a 'snapshot'. Care Factor data sample for Haberfield provides a 90% confidence level with a margin of error of $\pm 10\%$


LEGEND
 #1 attribute
 #2 attribute
 #3 attribute
 #1 Different from LGA top 10 CF

RANK	ATTRIBUTE	% OF PEOPLE
#1	Access and safety of walking, cycling and/or public transport (signage, paths, lighting etc.)	69% SENSE OF WELCOME
#2	Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)	63% THINGS TO DO
#2	Local businesses that provide for daily needs (grocery stores, pharmacy, banks etc.)	63% SENSE OF WELCOME
#4	General condition of public open space (street trees, footpaths, parks etc.)	61% CARE
#5	Landscaping and natural elements (street trees, planting, water features etc.)	60% LOOK & FUNCTION
#6	Protection of the natural environment	52% CARE
#7	Overall visual character of the neighbourhood	49% LOOK & FUNCTION
#8	Sense of neighbourhood safety (from crime, traffic, pollution etc.)	46% SENSE OF WELCOME
#9	Sense of belonging in the community	45% UNIQUE
#10	Elements of natural environment (natural features, views, vegetation, topography, water, wildlife etc.)	42% UNIQUE

DEMOGRAPHIC BREAKDOWN ¹												
ALL	67	#1	#2	#2	#4	#5	#6	#7	#8	#9	#10	Highest rated attributes if not in the overall top ten
Male	21	67%	71%	57%	67%	33%	33%	52%	52%	43%	33%	
Female	46	70%	59%	65%	59%	72%	61%	48%	43%	46%	46%	
Age												
0-24	4	50%	75%	75%	25%	75%	75%	25%	0%	25%	0%	
25-44	12	67%	42%	67%	42%	58%	67%	25%	25%	25%	33%	Locally owned and operated businesses (75%)
45-64	37	73%	65%	62%	70%	57%	54%	57%	57%	46%	57%	
65+	14	64%	71%	57%	64%	64%	29%	57%	50%	64%	21%	
Country of birth (Top 3)												
Australia	47	70%	72%	62%	62%	68%	53%	51%	45%	47%	49%	
Italy	5	60%	40%	100%	80%	60%	40%	20%	20%	60%	20%	
United Kingdom	5	60%	60%	80%	40%	40%	60%	60%	40%	40%	40%	
Ancestry (Top 3)												
European (including United Kingdom)	33	67%	52%	67%	70%	61%	52%	55%	58%	52%	45%	
Australasian	26	73%	69%	54%	54%	62%	54%	42%	35%	42%	46%	
Mixed	5	40%	100%	80%	60%	40%	40%	40%	60%	40%	20%	

5C HABERFIELD LIVEABILITY

RESIDENTS GAVE THEIR
NEIGHBOURHOOD A SCORE OF:



PLACE DIMENSIONS

Your PX Score is made up of 5 key place dimensions, each rated out of 20, that influence people's attraction and attachment to place.

LOOK & FUNCTION



SENSE OF WELCOME



THINGS TO DO



UNIQUENESS



CARE



WHAT IS IMPACTING OUR LIVED EXPERIENCE TODAY

These tables identify the highest and lowest rated attributes that are contributing to neighbourhood liveability.

Please note: these results are to be used as a 'snapshot'. PX data sample for Haberfield provides an 85% confidence level with a margin of error of ± 7

RATE	TOP 5 LIVEABILITY CONTRIBUTORS
#1	Sense of character or identity that is different from other neighbourhoods
#2	Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)
#3	Sense of personal safety (for all ages, genders, day or night)
#4	Amount of public space (footpaths, verges, parks etc.)
#5	Connectivity (proximity to other neighbourhoods, employment centres, shops etc.)

RATE	BOTTOM 5 LIVEABILITY CONTRIBUTORS
#50	Range of housing prices and tenures (low to high \$, buy or rent etc.)
#49	Things to do in the evening (bars, dining, cinema, live music etc.)
#48	Evidence of recent public investment (roads, parks, schools etc.)
#47	Sustainable behaviours in the community (water management, solar panels, recycling etc.)
#46	Sustainable urban design (water sensitive design, transport-oriented design, sustainable building design, density etc.)

LIVEABILITY BY DEMOGRAPHIC

This table identifies the Neighbourhood PX Scores as rated by different demographic groups.

Total PX Score	Men	Women	Intersex/Unspecified	0-24	25-44	45-64	65+
71	76	71*	NA	71	65	73*	76

LEGEND

- Under 10 respondents
- PX 70+ Performing well
- PX 50-69 Room for improvement
- PX <50 Urgent care needed

4D HABERFIELD IDEAS FOR CHANGE (1/2)

COMMON COMMUNITY IDEAS INCLUDE MORE AND/OR BETTER GREEN SPACES, ACTIVE TRANSPORT, AND RETAIL AND LEISURE OPTIONS

Place Score asked survey respondents *'What is your small or big idea to make your neighbourhood a better place?'* and *'What's missing in your neighbourhood that would make it a better place to live?'*



74 answers were collected in Haberfield. Here is what you community said:



4D HABERFIELD IDEAS FOR CHANGE (2/2)

LESS THAN 20% OF IDEAS RELATED TO THESE THEMES


Place Score asked survey respondents *'What is your small or big idea to make your neighbourhood a better place?'* and *'What's missing in your neighbourhood that would make it a better place to live?'* 74 answers were collected in Haberfield. Here is what you community said:



CHARACTER

- Celebrate and/or protect heritage (17.6%)
- Celebrate and/or protect the neighbourhood's identity (6.8%)


13 answers (17.57%) referred to character



SOCIAL CONNECTIONS & SAFETY

- Improve sense of safety and/or physical safety (6.8%)
- Improve sense of belonging and interactions between residents (6.8%)
- More and/or better consideration and inclusion of diversity (1.4%)

11 answers (14.9%) referred to social connections and safety



PUBLIC DOMAIN

- More and/or better open spaces and/or furniture (8.1%)
- Improve comfort (noise, smell, temperature...) (4.1%)


9 answers (12.2%) referred to the public domain



BUILT FORM

- Improve appearance of built form (4.1%)
- Improve transitions and/or relationship between interfaces (1.4%)

3 answers (4.1%) referred to the built form



HOUSING

- Maintain range of housing types and sizes (2.7%)
- Improve housing affordability (1.4%)

3 answers (4.1%) referred to housing

NEIGHBOURHOOD PROFILE

6. LEICHHARDT

6A LEICHHARDT STRENGTHS AND PRIORITIES


These tables and graph illustrate your neighbourhood strengths, liveability improvement priorities and secondary priorities.

STRENGTHS should be celebrated and protected.

LIVEABILITY IMPROVEMENT PRIORITIES identify the aspects of your neighbourhood that are important to people but are currently underperforming. Improving these attributes will have the most significant impact on your community.

SECONDARY PRIORITIES identify attributes to look-out for, they are negatively affecting liveability and can become more significant issues if more people start caring about them.

CF	NEIGHBOURHOOD STRENGTHS
5	Local businesses that provide for daily needs (grocery stores, pharmacy, banks etc.)
3	Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)
7	Sense of personal safety (for all ages, genders, day or night)



LEGEND

- Horizontal: Top 10 CF threshold
- Diagonal: Threshold showing attributes which PX rating is performing 10 pts worse than their CF ranking! ($PX=CF+10$)
- Equal CF rank and PX Score ($PX=CF$)

CF	LIVEABILITY IMPROVEMENT PRIORITIES
1	General condition of public open space (street trees, footpaths, parks etc.)
9	Quality of public space (footpaths, verges, parks etc.)
6	Landscaping and natural elements (street trees, planting, water features etc.)
4	Elements of natural environment (natural features, views, vegetation, topography, water, wildlife etc.)
10	Sense of neighbourhood safety (from crime, traffic, pollution etc.)
2	Things to do in the evening (bars, dining, cinema, live music etc.)
7	Walking/jogging/bike paths that connect housing to communal amenity (shops, parks etc.)

CF	SECONDARY PRIORITIES
14	Evidence of Council/government management (signage, street cleaners etc.)
22	Sustainable urban design (water sensitive design, transport-oriented design, sustainable building design, density etc.)
22	Sustainable behaviours in the community (water management, solar panels, recycling etc.)

6B LEICHHARDT TOP 10 CARE FACTORS

WHAT RESIDENTS MOST CARE ABOUT

Neighbourhood attributes are ranked based on how many people selected each attribute as being important to them in their 'ideal neighbourhood'.

RANK	ATTRIBUTE	% OF PEOPLE
#1	General condition of public open space (street trees, footpaths, parks etc.)	71% CARE
#2	Things to do in the evening (bars, dining, cinema, live music etc.)	63% THINGS TO DO
#3	Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)	58% THINGS TO DO
#4	Elements of natural environment (natural features, views, vegetation, topography, water, wildlife etc.)	51% UNIQUE
#5	Local businesses that provide for daily needs (grocery stores, pharmacy, banks etc.)	50% SENSE OF WELCOME
#6	Landscaping and natural elements (street trees, planting, water features etc.)	49% LOOK & FUNCTION
#7	Sense of personal safety (for all ages, genders, day or night)	47% SENSE OF WELCOME
#7	Walking/jogging/bike paths that connect housing to communal amenity (shops, parks etc.)	47% THINGS TO DO
#9	Quality of public space (footpaths, verges, parks etc.)	44% LOOK & FUNCTION
#10	Sense of neighbourhood safety (from crime, traffic, pollution etc.)	43% SENSE OF WELCOME


LEGEND

- #1 attribute
- #2 attribute
- #3 attribute
- #1 Different from LGA top 10 CF

DEMOGRAPHIC BREAKDOWN ¹												
ALL	139	#1	#2	#3	#4	#5	#6	#7	#7	#9	#10	Highest rated attributes if not in the overall top ten
Male	40	72%	60%	60%	32%	50%	42%	48%	42%	45%	48%	
Female	99	71%	64%	57%	59%	49%	52%	46%	49%	43%	41%	
Age												
0-24	6	33%	67%	50%	83%	67%	83%	83%	33%	17%	33%	
25-44	49	78%	67%	53%	45%	43%	43%	47%	45%	51%	31%	Welcoming to all people (53%)
45-64	58	69%	69%	60%	52%	57%	55%	48%	48%	40%	50%	
65+	26	73%	38%	62%	54%	42%	38%	35%	54%	46%	54%	
Country of birth (Top 3)												
Australia	102	74%	64%	58%	54%	50%	52%	45%	46%	41%	41%	
United Kingdom	15	47%	87%	40%	40%	60%	53%	47%	60%	40%	40%	Sense of connection to/feeling support from neighbours or community (60%)
Italy	6	67%	67%	67%	17%	50%	17%	33%	33%	100%	67%	
Ancestry (Top 3)												
European (including United Kingdom)	76	71%	62%	55%	51%	49%	57%	47%	46%	47%	45%	
Australasian	46	76%	74%	61%	48%	54%	41%	48%	46%	43%	41%	
Mixed	8	50%	50%	50%	50%	62%	38%	38%	62%	0%	38%	

6C LEICHHARDT LIVEABILITY

RESIDENTS GAVE THEIR
NEIGHBOURHOOD A SCORE OF:



PLACE DIMENSIONS

Your PX Score is made up of 5 key place dimensions, each rated out of 20, that influence people's attraction and attachment to place.

LOOK & FUNCTION



SENSE OF WELCOME



THINGS TO DO



UNIQUENESS



CARE



WHAT IS IMPACTING OUR LIVED EXPERIENCE TODAY

These tables identify the highest and lowest rated attributes that are contributing to neighbourhood liveability.

RATE	TOP 5 LIVEABILITY CONTRIBUTORS
#1	Connectivity (proximity to other neighbourhoods, employment centres, shops etc.)
#2	Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)
#3	Welcoming to all people
#4	Local businesses that provide for daily needs (grocery stores, pharmacy, banks etc.)
#5	There are people like me (age, gender, interests, ethnic backgrounds etc.)

RATE	BOTTOM 5 LIVEABILITY CONTRIBUTORS
#50	Ease of driving and parking
#49	Sustainable urban design (water sensitive design, transport-oriented design, sustainable building design, density etc.)
#48	Range of housing prices and tenures (low to high \$, buy or rent etc.)
#47	Evidence of recent public investment (roads, parks, schools etc.)
#46	Unusual or unique buildings and/or public space design

LIVEABILITY BY DEMOGRAPHIC

This table identifies the Neighbourhood PX Scores as rated by different demographic groups.

Total PX Score	Men	Women	Diff. Identity	0-24	25-44	45-64	65+
69	64*	72	NA	74	72*	67	71*

LEGEND

- Under 10 respondents
- PX 70+ Performing well
- PX 50-69 Room for improvement
- PX <50 Urgent care needed

6D LEICHHARDT IDEAS FOR CHANGE (1/2)

COMMON COMMUNITY IDEAS INCLUDE MORE AND/OR BETTER GREEN SPACES, TRANSPORT OPTIONS AND MAINTENANCE

Place Score asked survey respondents 'What is your small or big idea to make your neighbourhood a better place?' and 'What's missing in your neighbourhood that would make it a better place to live?'


179 answers were collected in Leichhardt. Here is what you community said:



6D LEICHHARDT IDEAS FOR CHANGE (2/2)


LESS THAN 20% OF IDEAS RELATED TO THESE THEMES

Place Score asked survey respondents *'What is your small or big idea to make your neighbourhood a better place?'* and *'What's missing in your neighbourhood that would make it a better place to live?'* 179 answers were collected in Leichhardt. Here is what you community said:




- Improve sense of safety and/or physical safety (10.6%)
- Improve sense of belonging and interactions between residents (8.9%)
- More and/or better consideration and inclusion of diversity (1.1%)

34 answers (19%) referred to social connections and safety



- More and/or better open spaces and/or furniture (12.8%)
- Improve comfort (noise, smell, temperature etc.) (5.6%)

32 answers (17.9%) referred to the public domain




- Celebrate and/or protect the neighbourhood's identity (7.3%)
- Celebrate and/or protect heritage (4.5%)

19 answers (10.6%) referred to character



- Improve appearance of built form (5%)
- Improve transitions and/or relationship between interfaces (3.4%)
- Limit heights (1.7%)
- Limit density (0.6%)

14 people (7.8%) referred to the built form



- Improve housing affordability (3.4%)
- Maintain range of housing types and sizes (1.7%)
- Diversify range of housing types and sizes (0.6%)

10 answers (5.6%) referred to housing

NEIGHBOURHOOD PROFILE

7. LEWISHAM-PETERSHAM

7A LEWISHAM-PETERSHAM STRENGTHS AND PRIORITIES


These tables and graph illustrate your neighbourhood strengths, liveability improvement priorities and secondary priorities.

STRENGTHS should be celebrated and protected.

LIVEABILITY IMPROVEMENT PRIORITIES identify the aspects of your neighbourhood that are important to people but are currently underperforming. Improving these attributes will have the most significant impact on your community.

SECONDARY PRIORITIES identify attributes to look-out for, they are negatively affecting liveability and can become more significant issues if more people start caring about them.

CF	NEIGHBOURHOOD STRENGTHS
10	Sense of personal safety (for all ages, genders, day or night)
4	Connectivity (proximity to other neighbourhoods, employment centres, shops etc.)
3	Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)



CF	LIVEABILITY IMPROVEMENT PRIORITIES
7	Elements of natural environment (natural features, views, vegetation, topography, water, wildlife etc.)
2	Access and safety of walking, cycling and/or public transport (signage, paths, lighting etc.)
8	Walking/jogging/bike paths that connect housing to communal amenity (shops, parks etc.)
1	General condition of public open space (street trees, footpaths, parks etc.)
5	Quality of public space (footpaths, verges, parks etc.)
5	Local businesses that provide for daily needs (grocery stores, pharmacy, banks etc.)
9	Things to do in the evening (bars, dining, cinema, live music etc.)

CF	SECONDARY PRIORITIES
15	Sustainable urban design (water sensitive design, transport-oriented design, sustainable building design, density etc.)
14	Protection of the natural environment
15	Sustainable behaviours in the community (water management, solar panels, recycling etc.)

LEGEND

- Horizontal: Top 10 CF threshold
- Diagonal: Threshold showing attributes which PX rating is performing 10 pts worse than their CF ranking! (PX=CF+10)
- Equal CF rank and PX Score (PX=CF)

Notes: CF - Care Factor ranking out of 50 - the lower the number the higher the number of people who think this attribute is important. Neighbourhood Strengths have a high CF and high PX. Liveability Priorities are the poorest performing CF ranked in the overall top 10. Secondary Priorities are the worst performing overall outside of the Top 10 CF. 'A threshold difference of 10 pts between the CF rank and PX rating is used to assure that displayed priorities are not within the margin of error.

7B LEWISHAM-PETERSHAM TOP 10 CARE FACTORS

WHAT RESIDENTS MOST CARE ABOUT

Neighbourhood attributes are ranked based on how many people selected each attribute as being important to them in their 'ideal neighbourhood'.

RANK	ATTRIBUTE	% OF PEOPLE
#1	General condition of public open space (street trees, footpaths, parks etc.)	73% CARE
#2	Access and safety of walking, cycling and/or public transport (signage, paths, lighting etc.)	62% SENSE OF WELCOME
#3	Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)	56% THINGS TO DO
#4	Connectivity (proximity to other neighbourhoods, employment centres, shops etc.)	51% LOOK & FUNCTION
#5	Local businesses that provide for daily needs (grocery stores, pharmacy, banks etc.)	50% SENSE OF WELCOME
#5	Quality of public space (footpaths, verges, parks etc.)	50% LOOK & FUNCTION
#7	Elements of natural environment (natural features, views, vegetation, topography, water, wildlife etc.)	48% UNIQUE
#8	Walking/jogging/bike paths that connect housing to communal amenity (shops, parks etc.)	47% THINGS TO DO
#9	Things to do in the evening (bars, dining, cinema, live music etc.)	44% THINGS TO DO
#10	Sense of personal safety (for all ages, genders, day or night)	41% SENSE OF WELCOME


LEGEND

- #1 attribute
- #2 attribute
- #3 attribute
- #1 Different from LGA top 10 CF

DEMOGRAPHIC BREAKDOWN ¹												
ALL	131	#1	#2	#3	#4	#5	#5	#7	#8	#9	#10	Highest rated attributes if not in the overall top ten
Male	53	74%	58%	58%	49%	53%	47%	43%	45%	47%	42%	
Female	77	73%	65%	56%	53%	48%	53%	52%	49%	42%	42%	
Age												
0-24	5	80%	40%	60%	80%	40%	80%	40%	20%	60%	80%	
25-44	53	75%	70%	60%	58%	58%	53%	43%	47%	53%	43%	
45-64	55	71%	58%	49%	44%	40%	47%	49%	47%	42%	40%	Sense of neighbourhood safety (from crime, traffic, pollution etc.) (53%)
65+	18	72%	56%	67%	44%	56%	44%	61%	56%	17%	28%	
Country of birth (Top 3)												
Australia	95	76%	63%	48%	49%	49%	54%	49%	47%	42%	39%	
United Kingdom	14	71%	50%	79%	50%	64%	29%	36%	29%	64%	43%	Locally owned and operated businesses (64%)
New Zealand	5	80%	100%	100%	40%	80%	40%	60%	40%	60%	40%	
Ancestry (Top 3)												
European (including United Kingdom)	54	76%	59%	48%	46%	41%	48%	43%	50%	50%	43%	
Australasian	53	70%	68%	60%	51%	55%	53%	55%	43%	40%	40%	
Asian	9	67%	56%	56%	56%	56%	67%	56%	67%	33%	44%	

7C LEWISHAM-PETERSHAM LIVEABILITY

RESIDENTS GAVE THEIR
NEIGHBOURHOOD A SCORE OF:



PLACE DIMENSIONS

Your PX Score is made up of 5 key place dimensions, each rated out of 20, that influence people's attraction and attachment to place.

LOOK & FUNCTION



SENSE OF WELCOME



THINGS TO DO



UNIQUENESS



CARE



WHAT IS IMPACTING OUR LIVED EXPERIENCE TODAY

These tables identify the highest and lowest rated attributes that are contributing to neighbourhood liveability.

RATE	TOP 5 LIVEABILITY CONTRIBUTORS
#1	Connectivity (proximity to other neighbourhoods, employment centres, shops etc.)
#2	There are people like me (age, gender, interests, ethnic backgrounds etc.)
#3	Welcoming to all people
#4	Mix or diversity of people in the area
#5	Overall visual character of the neighbourhood

RATE	BOTTOM 5 LIVEABILITY CONTRIBUTORS
#50	Range of housing prices and tenures (low to high \$, buy or rent etc.)
#49	Sustainable urban design (water sensitive design, transport-oriented design, sustainable building design, density etc.)
#48	Ease of driving and parking
#47	Family and community services (aged, disability and home care, protection and support services etc.)
#46	Protection of the natural environment

LIVEABILITY BY DEMOGRAPHIC

This table identifies the Neighbourhood PX Scores as rated by different demographic groups.

Total PX Score	Men	Women	Diff. Identity	0-24	25-44	45-64	65+
66	68*	64	70	71	63	66*	74*

LEGEND

- Under 10 respondents
- PX 70+ Performing well
- PX 50-69 Room for improvement
- PX <50 Urgent care needed

7D LEWISHAM-PETERSHAM IDEAS FOR CHANGE (1/2)

COMMON COMMUNITY IDEAS INCLUDE MORE AND/OR BETTER GREEN SPACES, ACTIVE TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE AND MAINTENANCE

Place Score asked survey respondents 'What is your small or big idea to make your neighbourhood a better place?' and 'What's missing in your neighbourhood that would make it a better place to live?'

141 answers were collected in Lewisham-Petersham. Here is what you community said:



7D LEWISHAM-PETERSHAM IDEAS FOR CHANGE (2/2)

LESS THAN 20% OF IDEAS RELATED TO THESE THEMES

Place Score asked survey respondents 'What is your small or big idea to make your neighbourhood a better place?' and 'What's missing in your neighbourhood that would make it a better place to live?'

141 answers were collected in Lewisham-Petersham. Here is what you community said:



NEIGHBOURHOOD PROFILE

8. MARRICKVILLE

8A MARRICKVILLE STRENGTHS AND PRIORITIES


These tables and graph illustrate your neighbourhood strengths, liveability improvement priorities and secondary priorities.

STRENGTHS should be celebrated and protected.

LIVEABILITY IMPROVEMENT PRIORITIES identify the aspects of your neighbourhood that are important to people but are currently underperforming. Improving these attributes will have the most significant impact on your community.

SECONDARY PRIORITIES identify attributes to look-out for, they are negatively affecting liveability and can become more significant issues if more people start caring about them.

CF	NEIGHBOURHOOD STRENGTHS
8	Mix or diversity of people in the area
5	Local businesses that provide for daily needs (grocery stores, pharmacy, banks etc.)
3	Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)
8	Sense of personal safety (for all ages, genders, day or night)



LEGEND

- Horizontal: Top 10 CF threshold
- Diagonal: Threshold showing attributes which PX rating is performing 10 pts worse than their CF ranking! (PX=CF+10)
- Equal CF rank and PX Score (PX=CF)

CF	LIVEABILITY IMPROVEMENT PRIORITIES
1	General condition of public open space (street trees, footpaths, parks etc.)
8	Quality of public space (footpaths, verges, parks etc.)
3	Elements of natural environment (natural features, views, vegetation, topography, water, wildlife etc.)
8	Protection of the natural environment
6	Sustainable behaviours in the community (water management, solar panels, recycling etc.)
8	Landscaping and natural elements (street trees, planting, water features etc.)
7	Walking/jogging/bike paths that connect housing to communal amenity (shops, parks etc.)
8	Access and safety of walking, cycling and/or public transport (signage, paths, lighting etc.)
2	Things to do in the evening (bars, dining, cinema, live music etc.)

CF	SECONDARY PRIORITIES
19	Sustainable urban design (water sensitive design, transport-oriented design, sustainable building design, density etc.)

8B MARRICKVILLE TOP 10 CARE FACTORS

WHAT RESIDENTS MOST CARE ABOUT

Neighbourhood attributes are ranked based on how many people selected each attribute as being important to them in their 'ideal neighbourhood'.

RANK	ATTRIBUTE	% OF PEOPLE
#1	General condition of public open space (street trees, footpaths, parks etc.)	66% CARE
#2	Things to do in the evening (bars, dining, cinema, live music etc.)	56% THINGS TO DO
#3	Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)	50% THINGS TO DO
#3	Elements of natural environment (natural features, views, vegetation, topography, water, wildlife etc.)	50% UNIQUE
#5	Local businesses that provide for daily needs (grocery stores, pharmacy, banks etc.)	47% SENSE OF WELCOME
#6	Sustainable behaviours in the community (water management, solar panels, recycling etc.)	45% CARE
#7	Walking/jogging/bike paths that connect housing to communal amenity (shops, parks etc.)	44% THINGS TO DO
#8	Access and safety of walking, cycling and/or public transport (signage, paths, lighting etc.)	43% SENSE OF WELCOME
#8	Landscaping and natural elements (street trees, planting, water features etc.)	43% LOOK & FUNCTION
#8	Mix or diversity of people in the area	43% UNIQUE

LEGEND

- #1 attribute
- #2 attribute
- #3 attribute
- #1 Different from LGA top 10 CF


DEMOGRAPHIC BREAKDOWN ¹											
ALL	214	#1	#2	#3	#3	#5	#6	#7	#8	#8	#8
Highest rated attributes if not in the overall top ten											
Male	90	71%	60%	49%	54%	49%	43%	53%	48%	46%	41%
Female	121	63%	53%	50%	49%	45%	46%	37%	40%	43%	45%
Age											
0-24	7	43%	43%	57%	57%	14%	29%	43%	71%	57%	29%
25-44	95	68%	59%	45%	49%	44%	49%	44%	43%	42%	41%
45-64	83	67%	59%	51%	49%	53%	41%	45%	43%	45%	47%
65+	29	59%	38%	62%	55%	45%	45%	41%	38%	41%	41%
Country of birth (Top 3)											
Australia	160	65%	59%	50%	46%	45%	44%	41%	46%	42%	46%
United Kingdom	17	65%	41%	41%	59%	53%	59%	59%	18%	59%	35%
New Zealand	5	80%	40%	80%	100%	40%	40%	60%	60%	60%	20%
Ancestry (Top 3)											
Australasian	101	70%	54%	51%	51%	45%	49%	44%	41%	44%	48%
European (including United Kingdom)	74	64%	57%	42%	51%	57%	45%	47%	49%	45%	39%
Mixed	20	65%	70%	65%	60%	35%	45%	50%	35%	55%	25%

Amount of public space (footpaths, verges, parks etc.)(55%)

Welcoming to all people(65%), Protection of the natural environment(65%)

8C MARRICKVILLE LIVEABILITY

RESIDENTS GAVE THEIR
NEIGHBOURHOOD A SCORE OF:



PLACE DIMENSIONS

Your PX Score is made up of 5 key place dimensions, each rated out of 20, that influence people's attraction and attachment to place.

LOOK & FUNCTION



SENSE OF WELCOME



THINGS TO DO



UNIQUENESS



CARE



WHAT IS IMPACTING OUR LIVED EXPERIENCE TODAY

These tables identify the highest and lowest rated attributes that are contributing to neighbourhood liveability.

RATE	TOP 5 LIVEABILITY CONTRIBUTORS
#1	Mix or diversity of people in the area
#2	Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)
#3	Local businesses that provide for daily needs (grocery stores, pharmacy, banks etc.)
#4	Connectivity (proximity to other neighbourhoods, employment centres, shops etc.)
#5	There are people like me (age, gender, interests, ethnic backgrounds etc.)

RATE	BOTTOM 5 LIVEABILITY CONTRIBUTORS
#50	Ease of driving and parking
#49	Range of housing prices and tenures (low to high \$, buy or rent etc.)
#48	Child services (child care, early learning, after school care, medical etc.)
#47	Sustainable urban design (water sensitive design, transport-oriented design, sustainable building design, density etc.)
#46	Evidence of recent public investment (roads, parks, schools etc.)

LIVEABILITY BY DEMOGRAPHIC

This table identifies the Neighbourhood PX Scores as rated by different demographic groups.

Total PX Score	Men	Women	Diff. Identity	0-24	25-44	45-64	65+
69	70	71	38	81	71	70	67*

LEGEND

- Under 10 respondents
- PX 70+ Performing well
- PX 50-69 Room for improvement
- PX <50 Urgent care needed

8D MARRICKVILLE IDEAS FOR CHANGE (1/2)

COMMON COMMUNITY IDEAS INCLUDE MORE AND/OR BETTER GREEN SPACES, ACTIVE TRANSPORT AND MAINTENANCE¹

Place Score asked survey respondents *'What is your small or big idea to make your neighbourhood a better place?'* and *'What's missing in your neighbourhood that would make it a better place to live?'*

258 answers were collected in Marrickville. Here is what you community said:



8D MARRICKVILLE IDEAS FOR CHANGE (2/2)

LESS THAN 20% OF IDEAS RELATED TO THESE THEMES

Place Score asked survey respondents *'What is your small or big idea to make your neighbourhood a better place?'* and *'What's missing in your neighbourhood that would make it a better place to live?'* 258 answers were collected in Marrickville. Here is what you community said:



NEIGHBOURHOOD PROFILE

9. NEWTOWN-ENMORE

9A NEWTOWN-ENMORE STRENGTHS AND PRIORITIES

These tables and graph illustrate your neighbourhood strengths, liveability improvement priorities and secondary priorities.

STRENGTHS should be celebrated and protected.


LIVEABILITY IMPROVEMENT PRIORITIES identify the aspects of your neighbourhood that are important to people but are currently underperforming. Improving these attributes will have the most significant impact on your community.

SECONDARY PRIORITIES identify attributes to look-out for, they are negatively affecting liveability and can become more significant issues if more people start caring about them.

CF	NEIGHBOURHOOD STRENGTHS
8	Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)
10	Welcoming to all people
4	Connectivity (proximity to other neighbourhoods, employment centres, shops etc.)
10	Mix or diversity of people in the area
2	Things to do in the evening (bars, dining, cinema, live music etc.)
4	Local businesses that provide for daily needs (grocery stores, pharmacy, banks etc.)
10	Spaces suitable for specific activities or special interests (entertainment, exercise, dog park, BBQs etc.)

LEGEND

- Horizontal: Top 10 CF threshold
- Diagonal: Threshold showing attributes which PX rating is performing 10 pts worse than their CF ranking! ($PX=CF+10$)
- Equal CF rank and PX Score ($PX=CF$)



CF	LIVEABILITY IMPROVEMENT PRIORITIES
1	General condition of public open space (street trees, footpaths, parks etc.)
3	Quality of public space (footpaths, verges, parks etc.)
6	Landscaping and natural elements (street trees, planting, water features etc.)
10	Walking/jogging/bike paths that connect housing to communal amenity (shops, parks etc.)
10	Evidence of Council/government management (signage, street cleaners etc.)
8	Access and safety of walking, cycling and/or public transport (signage, paths, lighting etc.)
6	Sense of personal safety (for all ages, genders, day or night)

CF	SECONDARY PRIORITIES
15	Protection of the natural environment
17	Sustainable behaviours in the community (water management, solar panels, recycling etc.)
20	Elements of natural environment (natural features, views, vegetation, topography, water, wildlife etc.)

9B NEWTOWN-ENMORE TOP 10 CARE FACTORS

WHAT RESIDENTS MOST CARE ABOUT

Neighbourhood attributes are ranked based on how many people selected each attribute as being important to them in their 'ideal neighbourhood'.

RANK	ATTRIBUTE	% OF PEOPLE
#1	General condition of public open space (street trees, footpaths, parks etc.)	72% CARE
#2	Things to do in the evening (bars, dining, cinema, live music etc.)	54% THINGS TO DO
#3	Quality of public space (footpaths, verges, parks etc.)	52% LOOK & FUNCTION
=#4	Connectivity (proximity to other neighbourhoods, employment centres, shops etc.)	50% LOOK & FUNCTION
=#4	Local businesses that provide for daily needs (grocery stores, pharmacy, banks etc.)	50% SENSE OF WELCOME
=#6	Landscaping and natural elements (street trees, planting, water features etc.)	48% LOOK & FUNCTION
=#6	Sense of personal safety (for all ages, genders, day or night)	48% SENSE OF WELCOME
=#8	Access and safety of walking, cycling and/or public transport (signage, paths, lighting etc.)	47% SENSE OF WELCOME
=#8	Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)	47% THINGS TO DO
=#10	Evidence of Council/government management (signage, street cleaners etc.)	44% CARE

LEGEND


- #1 attribute
- #2 attribute
- #3 attribute
- #1 Different from LGA top 10 CF

DEMOGRAPHIC BREAKDOWN¹

ALL	108	#1	#2	#3	#4	#4	#6	#6	#8	#8	#10	Highest rated attributes if not in the overall top ten
Male	40	80%	55%	52%	48%	52%	40%	48%	42%	48%	52%	
Female	68	68%	53%	51%	51%	49%	53%	49%	50%	47%	40%	
Age												
0-24	3	0%	100%	33%	67%	0%	67%	0%	33%	0%	33%	
25-44	47	74%	47%	51%	66%	51%	40%	45%	40%	45%	30%	
45-64	45	73%	56%	53%	40%	51%	53%	49%	51%	49%	47%	Spaces suitable for specific activities or special interests (entertainment, exercise, dog park, BBQs etc.) (53%)
65+	13	77%	62%	54%	23%	54%	54%	69%	62%	62%	92%	
Country of birth (Top 3)												
Australia	70	64%	51%	54%	43%	51%	49%	39%	43%	44%	43%	
United Kingdom	13	77%	54%	46%	62%	46%	62%	85%	54%	46%	54%	Elements of natural environment (natural features, views, vegetation, topography, water, wildlife etc.) (62%)
United States	6	83%	67%	50%	50%	50%	50%	67%	67%	67%	33%	
Ancestry (Top 3)												
European (including United Kingdom)	55	76%	44%	55%	56%	53%	49%	53%	44%	47%	49%	
Australasian	36	61%	64%	50%	36%	50%	47%	42%	44%	50%	39%	Spaces suitable for specific activities or special interests (entertainment, exercise, dog park, BBQs etc.) (56%)
Mixed	10	70%	80%	50%	70%	50%	40%	30%	50%	40%	50%	Cultural and/or artistic community (70%), Sustainable behaviour in the community (water management, solar panels, recycling etc.) (70%)

9C NEWTOWN-ENMORE LIVEABILITY

RESIDENTS GAVE THEIR
NEIGHBOURHOOD A SCORE OF:



PLACE DIMENSIONS

Your PX Score is made up of 5 key place dimensions, each rated out of 20, that influence people's attraction and attachment to place.

LOOK & FUNCTION



SENSE OF WELCOME



THINGS TO DO



UNIQUENESS



CARE



WHAT IS IMPACTING OUR LIVED EXPERIENCE TODAY

These tables identify the highest and lowest rated attributes that are contributing to neighbourhood liveability.

RATE	TOP 5 LIVEABILITY CONTRIBUTORS
#1	Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)
#2	Things to do in the evening (bars, dining, cinema, live music etc.)
#3	Connectivity (proximity to other neighbourhoods, employment centres, shops etc.)
#4	There are people like me (age, gender, interests, ethnic backgrounds etc.)
#5	Welcoming to all people

RATE	BOTTOM 5 LIVEABILITY CONTRIBUTORS
#50	Ease of driving and parking
#49	Range of housing prices and tenures (low to high \$, buy or rent etc.)
#48	Evidence of recent public investment (roads, parks, schools etc.)
#47	Sustainable behaviours in the community (water management, solar panels, recycling etc.)
#46	Protection of the natural environment

LIVEABILITY BY DEMOGRAPHIC

This table identifies the Neighbourhood PX Scores as rated by different demographic groups.

Total PX Score	Men	Women	Diff. Identity	0-24	25-44	45-64	65+
70	70	70	NA	61	72	69	66*

LEGEND

- Under 10 respondents
- PX 70+ Performing well
- PX 50-69 Room for improvement
- PX <50 Urgent care needed

9D NEWTOWN-ENMORE IDEAS FOR CHANGE (1/2)

COMMON COMMUNITY IDEAS INCLUDE MORE AND/OR BETTER ACTIVE TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE, GREEN SPACES AND MAINTENANCE

Place Score asked survey respondents *'What is your small or big idea to make your neighbourhood a better place?'* and *'What's missing in your neighbourhood that would make it a better place to live?'*

151 answers were collected in Newtown-Enmore. Here is what you community said:




9D NEWTOWN-ENMORE IDEAS FOR CHANGE (2/2)

LESS THAN 20% OF IDEAS RELATED TO THESE THEMES

Place Score asked survey respondents *'What is your small or big idea to make your neighbourhood a better place?'* and *'What's missing in your neighbourhood that would make it a better place to live?'*

151 answers were collected in Newtown-Enmore. Here is what you community said:



FACILITIES

- More and/or better play and sports facilities (7.3%)
- More and/or better education and childcare facilities (2.7%)
- More and/or better arts and culture facilities (2%)
- More and/or better community facilities (2%)
- More and/or better health related facilities (0.7%)


21 answers (13.9%) referred to facilities



ECONOMY

- More and/or better retail and leisure options (8%)
- Increase night-time and weekend economy (4.6%)
- More and/or better local businesses (2.65%)
- Improve employment and/or commercial buildings occupancy (2%)
- More and/or better tourism infrastructure and management (0.7%)
- Reduce night-time economy (0.7%)


19 people (12.6%) referred to the economy



CHARACTER

- Celebrate and/or protect the neighbourhood's identity (4.6%)
- Improve overall quality of public domain (1.3%)
- Celebrate and/or protect heritage (0.7%)

9 answers (6%) referred to character



HOUSING

- Improve housing affordability (3.3%)
- Diversify range of housing types and sizes (1.3%)
- Maintain range of housing types and sizes (0.7%)

7 answers (4.6%) referred to housing



BUILT FORM

- Limit density (1.3%)
- Limit heights (1.3%)
- Improve appearance of built form (0.7%)

3 answers (2%) referred to the built form

NEIGHBOURHOOD PROFILE

10. ROZELLE-LILYFIELD

10A ROZELLE-LILYFIELD STRENGTHS AND PRIORITIES


These tables and graph illustrate your neighbourhood strengths, liveability improvement priorities and secondary priorities.

STRENGTHS should be celebrated and protected.

LIVEABILITY IMPROVEMENT PRIORITIES identify the aspects of your neighbourhood that are important to people but are currently underperforming. Improving these attributes will have the most significant impact on your community.

SECONDARY PRIORITIES identify attributes to look-out for, they are negatively affecting liveability and can become more significant issues if more people start caring about them.

CF	NEIGHBOURHOOD STRENGTHS
4	Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)
8	Overall visual character of the neighbourhood
2	Local businesses that provide for daily needs (grocery stores, pharmacy, banks etc.)
8	Walking/jogging/bike paths that connect housing to communal amenity (shops, parks etc.)
5	Sense of neighbourhood safety (from crime, traffic, pollution etc.)



LEGEND

- Horizontal: Top 10 CF threshold
- Diagonal: Threshold showing attributes which PX rating is performing 10 pts worse than their CF ranking! ($PX=CF+10$)
- Equal CF rank and PX Score ($PX=CF$)

CF	LIVEABILITY IMPROVEMENT PRIORITIES
1	General condition of public open space (street trees, footpaths, parks etc.)
6	Protection of the natural environment
2	Elements of natural environment (natural features, views, vegetation, topography, water, wildlife etc.)
6	Quality of public space (footpaths, verges, parks etc.)
8	Access and safety of walking, cycling and/or public transport (signage, paths, lighting etc.)

CF	SECONDARY PRIORITIES
16	Sustainable behaviours in the community (water management, solar panels, recycling etc.)
19	Evidence of Council/government management (signage, street cleaners etc.)
22	Sustainable urban design (water sensitive design, transport-oriented design, sustainable building design, density etc.)
16	Evidence of community activity (volunteering, gardening, art, community-organised events etc.)
29	Evidence of recent public investment (roads, parks, schools etc.)

10B ROZELLE-LILYFIELD TOP 10 CARE FACTORS

WHAT RESIDENTS MOST CARE ABOUT

Neighbourhood attributes are ranked based on how many people selected each attribute as being important to them in their 'ideal neighbourhood'.

RANK	ATTRIBUTE	% OF PEOPLE
#1	General condition of public open space (street trees, footpaths, parks etc.)	70% CARE
#2	Elements of natural environment (natural features, views, vegetation, topography, water, wildlife etc.)	55% UNIQUE
#2	Local businesses that provide for daily needs (grocery stores, pharmacy, banks etc.)	55% SENSE OF WELCOME
#4	Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)	54% THINGS TO DO
#5	Sense of neighbourhood safety (from crime, traffic, pollution etc.)	51% SENSE OF WELCOME
#6	Protection of the natural environment	47% CARE
#6	Quality of public space (footpaths, verges, parks etc.)	47% LOOK & FUNCTION
#8	Access and safety of walking, cycling and/or public transport (signage, paths, lighting etc.)	46% SENSE OF WELCOME
#8	Overall visual character of the neighbourhood	46% LOOK & FUNCTION
#8	Walking/jogging/bike paths that connect housing to communal amenity (shops, parks etc.)	46% THINGS TO DO


LEGEND

- #1 attribute
- #2 attribute
- #3 attribute
- #1 Different from LGA top 10 CF

DEMOGRAPHIC BREAKDOWN ¹												
ALL	104	#1	#2	#2	#4	#5	#6	#6	#8	#8	#8	Highest rated attributes if not in the overall top ten
Male	30	67%	67%	60%	50%	43%	40%	57%	50%	33%	47%	
Female	74	72%	50%	53%	55%	54%	50%	43%	45%	51%	46%	
Age												
0-24	1	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	
25-44	37	62%	59%	35%	54%	54%	54%	46%	41%	43%	51%	
45-64	49	73%	53%	61%	47%	51%	45%	45%	53%	51%	51%	Things to do in the evening (bars, dining, cinema, live music etc.) (53%)
65+	17	76%	53%	82%	76%	47%	35%	53%	35%	41%	24%	
Country of birth (Top 3)												
Australia	67	72%	54%	57%	52%	54%	49%	45%	42%	45%	45%	
United Kingdom	20	70%	40%	45%	45%	40%	40%	65%	60%	45%	65%	
United States	4	50%	75%	50%	75%	50%	75%	0%	50%	25%	50%	
Ancestry (Top 3)												
European (including United Kingdom)	47	74%	51%	55%	51%	55%	57%	47%	53%	47%	57%	
Australasian	42	74%	60%	55%	48%	45%	33%	60%	43%	43%	40%	
Mixed	7	43%	71%	43%	86%	43%	57%	0%	14%	57%	14%	

10C ROZELLE-LILYFIELD LIVEABILITY

RESIDENTS GAVE THEIR
NEIGHBOURHOOD A SCORE OF:



PLACE DIMENSIONS

Your PX Score is made up of 5 key place dimensions, each rated out of 20, that influence people's attraction and attachment to place.

LOOK & FUNCTION



SENSE OF WELCOME



THINGS TO DO



UNIQUENESS



CARE



WHAT IS IMPACTING OUR LIVED EXPERIENCE TODAY

These tables identify the highest and lowest rated attributes that are contributing to neighbourhood liveability.

RATE	TOP 5 LIVEABILITY CONTRIBUTORS
#1	Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)
#2	Sense of personal safety (for all ages, genders, day or night)
#3	Welcoming to all people
#4	There are people like me (age, gender, interests, ethnic backgrounds etc.)
#5	Local businesses that provide for daily needs (grocery stores, pharmacy, banks etc.)

RATE	BOTTOM 5 LIVEABILITY CONTRIBUTORS
#50	Range of housing prices and tenures (low to high \$, buy or rent etc.)
#49	Evidence of recent public investment (roads, parks, schools etc.)
#48	Sustainable urban design (water sensitive design, transport-oriented design, sustainable building design, density etc.)
#47	Ease of driving and parking
#46	Evidence of Council/government management (signage, street cleaners etc.)

LIVEABILITY BY DEMOGRAPHIC

This table identifies the Neighbourhood PX Scores as rated by different demographic groups.

Total PX Score	Men	Women	Diff. Identity	0-24	25-44	45-64	65+
73	69	73	NA	74	74*	73	67*

LEGEND

- Under 10 respondents
- PX 70+ Performing well
- PX 50-69 Room for improvement
- PX <50 Urgent care needed

10D ROZELLE-LILYFIELD IDEAS FOR CHANGE (1/2)

COMMON COMMUNITY IDEAS INCLUDE MORE AND/OR BETTER GREEN SPACES, MAINTENANCE AND ACTIVE TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE

Place Score asked survey respondents *'What is your small or big idea to make your neighbourhood a better place?'* and *'What's missing in your neighbourhood that would make it a better place to live?'*

135 answers were collected in Rozelle-Lilyfield. Here is what you community said:




10D ROZELLE-LILYFIELD IDEAS FOR CHANGE (2/2)

LESS THAN 20% OF IDEAS RELATED TO THESE THEMES

Place Score asked survey respondents *'What is your small or big idea to make your neighbourhood a better place?'* and *'What's missing in your neighbourhood that would make it a better place to live?'*

135 answers were collected in Rozelle-Lilyfield. Here is what you community said:




PUBLIC DOMAIN

- More and/or better open spaces and/or furniture (9.6%)
- Improve comfort (noise, smell, temperature etc.) (8.2%)



SOCIAL CONNECTIONS AND SAFETY

- Improve sense of belonging and interactions between residents (8.9%)
- Improve sense of safety and/or physical safety (7.4%)
- More and/or better consideration and inclusion of diversity (2.2%)




CHARACTER

- Celebrate and/or protect the neighbourhood's identity (7.4%)
- Celebrate and/or protect heritage (5.2%)
- Improve overall quality of public domain (1.5%)



BUILT FORM

- Limit density (1.5%)
- Limit heights (1.5%)
- Improve appearance of built form (1.5%)
- Improve transitions and/or relationship between interfaces (1.5%)



HOUSING

- Maintain range of housing types and sizes (1.5%)
- Improve housing affordability (1.5%)

24 answers (17.8%) referred to the public domain

24 answers (17.8%) referred to social connections and safety

15 answers (11.1%) referred to character

8 answers (5.9%) referred to the built form

4 answers (3%) referred to housing

NEIGHBOURHOOD PROFILE

11. STANMORE-CAMPERDOWN

11A STANMORE-CAMPERDOWN STRENGTHS AND PRIORITIES


These tables and graph illustrate your neighbourhood strengths, liveability improvement priorities and secondary priorities.

STRENGTHS should be celebrated and protected.

LIVEABILITY IMPROVEMENT PRIORITIES identify the aspects of your neighbourhood that are important to people but are currently underperforming. Improving these attributes will have the most significant impact on your community.

SECONDARY PRIORITIES identify attributes to look-out for, they are negatively affecting liveability and can become more significant issues if more people start caring about them.

CF	NEIGHBOURHOOD STRENGTHS
9	Connectivity (proximity to other neighbourhoods, employment centres, shops etc.)
9	Locally owned and operated businesses
2	Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)
6	Sense of personal safety (for all ages, genders, day or night)
8	Things to do in the evening (bars, dining, cinema, live music etc.)
4	Local businesses that provide for daily needs (grocery stores, pharmacy, banks etc.)



LEGEND

- Horizontal: Top 10 CF threshold
- Diagonal: Threshold showing attributes which PX rating is performing 10 pts worse than their CF ranking* (PX=CF+10)
- Equal CF rank and PX Score (PX=CF)

Please note: these results should be used as a 'snapshot'. PX data sample for Stanmore-Camperdown provides a 90% confidence level with a margin of error of ± 7 pts.

CF	LIVEABILITY IMPROVEMENT PRIORITIES
3	Quality of public space (footpaths, verges, parks etc.)
7	Landscaping and natural elements (street trees, planting, water features etc.)
1	General condition of public open space (street trees, footpaths, parks etc.)
5	Access and safety of walking, cycling and/or public transport (signage, paths, lighting etc.)
9	Walking/jogging/bike paths that connect housing to communal amenity (shops, parks etc.)

CF	SECONDARY PRIORITIES
15	Elements of natural environment (natural features, views, vegetation, topography, water, wildlife etc.)
20	Sustainable urban design (water sensitive design, transport-oriented design, sustainable building design, density etc.)
20	Protection of the natural environment
24	Sustainable behaviours in the community (water management, solar panels, recycling etc.)
26	Evidence of recent public investment (roads, parks, schools etc.)

11B STANMORE-CAMPERDOWN TOP 10 CARE FACTORS

WHAT RESIDENTS MOST CARE ABOUT

Neighbourhood attributes are ranked based on how many people selected each attribute as being important to them in their 'ideal neighbourhood'.

RANK	ATTRIBUTE	% OF PEOPLE
#1	General condition of public open space (street trees, footpaths, parks etc.)	77% CARE
#2	Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)	63% THINGS TO DO
#3	Quality of public space (footpaths, verges, parks etc.)	56% LOOK & FUNCTION
#4	Local businesses that provide for daily needs (grocery stores, pharmacy, banks etc.)	53% SENSE OF WELCOME
#5	Access and safety of walking, cycling and/or public transport (signage, paths, lighting etc.)	52% SENSE OF WELCOME
#6	Sense of personal safety (for all ages, genders, day or night)	50% SENSE OF WELCOME
#7	Landscaping and natural elements (street trees, planting, water features etc.)	46% LOOK & FUNCTION
#8	Things to do in the evening (bars, dining, cinema, live music etc.)	45% THINGS TO DO
#9	Connectivity (proximity to other neighbourhoods, employment centres, shops etc.)	43% LOOK & FUNCTION
#9	Locally owned and operated businesses	43% UNIQUE


LEGEND

- #1 attribute
- #2 attribute
- #3 attribute
- #1 Different from LGA top 10 CF

DEMOGRAPHIC BREAKDOWN ¹												
ALL	100	#1	#2	#3	#4	#5	#6	#7	#8	#9	#9	Highest rated attributes if not in the overall top ten
Male	32	66%	56%	47%	50%	50%	47%	41%	50%	50%	31%	Spaces suitable for specific activities or special interests (entertainment, exercise, dog park, BBQs etc.)(53%)
Female	68	82%	66%	60%	54%	53%	51%	49%	43%	40%	49%	
Age												
0-24	1	0%	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	
25-44	40	75%	55%	57%	38%	55%	40%	32%	42%	60%	48%	
45-64	36	78%	69%	53%	53%	47%	58%	58%	58%	28%	44%	
65+	23	83%	65%	57%	78%	57%	57%	52%	30%	39%	30%	
Country of birth (Top 3)												
Australia	84	76%	63%	55%	55%	52%	51%	50%	50%	44%	43%	
United Kingdom	3	100%	100%	67%	67%	67%	33%	0%	33%	0%	33%	
India	2	100%	50%	50%	50%	0%	50%	0%	0%	50%	100%	
Ancestry (Top 3)												
Australasian	47	79%	62%	57%	57%	53%	51%	47%	45%	47%	40%	
European (including United Kingdom)	37	78%	68%	57%	49%	54%	43%	46%	51%	38%	46%	
Mixed	10	60%	60%	40%	40%	50%	70%	60%	30%	40%	40%	

11C STANMORE-CAMPERDOWN LIVEABILITY

RESIDENTS GAVE THEIR
NEIGHBOURHOOD A SCORE OF:



PLACE DIMENSIONS

Your PX Score is made up of 5 key place dimensions, each rated out of 20, that influence people's attraction and attachment to place.

LOOK & FUNCTION



SENSE OF WELCOME



THINGS TO DO



UNIQUENESS



CARE



WHAT IS IMPACTING OUR LIVED EXPERIENCE TODAY

These tables identify the highest and lowest rated attributes that are contributing to neighbourhood liveability.

Please note: that these results should be used as a 'snapshot'. PX data sample for Stanmore-Camperdown provides a 90% confidence level with a margin of error of ± 7 pts.

RATE	TOP 5 LIVEABILITY CONTRIBUTORS
#1	Connectivity (proximity to other neighbourhoods, employment centres, shops etc.)
#2	Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)
#3	There are people like me (age, gender, interests, ethnic backgrounds etc.)
#4	Welcoming to all people
#5	Sense of character or identity that is different from other neighbourhoods

RATE	BOTTOM 5 LIVEABILITY CONTRIBUTORS
#50	Range of housing prices and tenures (low to high \$, buy or rent etc.)
#49	Sustainable urban design (water sensitive design, transport-oriented design, sustainable building design, density etc.)
#48	Ease of driving and parking
#47	Family and community services (aged, disability and home care, protection and support services etc.)
#46	Sustainable behaviours in the community (water management, solar panels, recycling etc.)

LIVEABILITY BY DEMOGRAPHIC

This table identifies the Neighbourhood PX Scores as rated by different demographic groups.

Total PX Score	Men	Women	Intersex/Unspecified	0-24	25-44	45-64	65+
70	68*	71	NA	63	73*	70*	68*

LEGEND

- Under 10 respondents
- PX 70+ Performing well
- PX 50-69 Room for improvement
- PX <50 Urgent care needed

9D STANMORE-CAMPERDOWN IDEAS FOR CHANGE (1/2)

COMMON COMMUNITY IDEAS INCLUDE MORE AND/OR BETTER GREEN SPACES, ACTIVE TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE AND MAINTENANCE

Place Score asked survey respondents 'What is your small or big idea to make your neighbourhood a better place?' and 'What's missing in your neighbourhood that would make it a better place to live?'

128 answers were collected in Stanmore-Camperdown. Here is what you community said:




9D STANMORE-CAMPERDOWN IDEAS FOR CHANGE (2/2)

LESS THAN 20% OF IDEAS RELATED TO THESE THEMES

Place Score asked survey respondents *'What is your small or big idea to make your neighbourhood a better place?'* and *'What's missing in your neighbourhood that would make it a better place to live?'*

128 answers were collected in Stanmore-Camperdown. Here is what you community said:



NEIGHBOURHOOD PROFILE

12. SUMMER HILL

12A SUMMER HILL STRENGTHS AND PRIORITIES

These tables and graph illustrate your neighbourhood strengths, liveability improvement priorities and secondary priorities.

STRENGTHS should be celebrated and protected.


LIVEABILITY IMPROVEMENT PRIORITIES identify the aspects of your neighbourhood that are important to people but are currently underperforming. Improving these attributes will have the most significant impact on your community.

SECONDARY PRIORITIES identify attributes to look-out for, they are negatively affecting liveability and can become more significant issues if more people start caring about them.

CF	NEIGHBOURHOOD STRENGTHS
4	Connectivity (proximity to other neighbourhoods, employment centres, shops etc.)
10	Sense of belonging in the community
3	Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)
8	Sense of personal safety (for all ages, genders, day or night)
2	Local businesses that provide for daily needs (grocery stores, pharmacy, banks etc.)
6	Locally owned and operated businesses
10	Walking/jogging/bike paths that connect housing to communal amenity (shops, parks etc.)

LEGEND

- Horizontal: Top 10 CF threshold
- Diagonal: Threshold showing attributes which PX rating is performing 10 pts worse than their CF ranking* ($PX=CF+10$)
- Equal CF rank and PX Score ($PX=CF$)



CF	LIVEABILITY IMPROVEMENT PRIORITIES
1	General condition of public open space (street trees, footpaths, parks etc.)
9	Quality of public space (footpaths, verges, parks etc.)
4	Things to do in the evening (bars, dining, cinema, live music etc.)
6	Access and safety of walking, cycling and/or public transport (signage, paths, lighting etc.)

CF	SECONDARY PRIORITIES
17	Sustainable urban design (water sensitive design, transport-oriented design, sustainable building design, density etc.)
17	Sustainable behaviours in the community (water management, solar panels, recycling etc.)
15	Protection of the natural environment
19	Evidence of recent public investment (roads, parks, schools etc.)
25	Evidence of Council/government management (signage, street cleaners etc.)
19	Elements of natural environment (natural features, views, vegetation, topography, water, wildlife etc.)

12B SUMMER HILL TOP 10 CARE FACTORS

WHAT RESIDENTS MOST CARE ABOUT

Neighbourhood attributes are ranked based on how many people selected each attribute as being important to them in their 'ideal neighbourhood'.

RANK	ATTRIBUTE	% OF PEOPLE
#1	General condition of public open space (street trees, footpaths, parks etc.)	78% CARE
#2	Local businesses that provide for daily needs (grocery stores, pharmacy, banks etc.)	60% SENSE OF WELCOME
#3	Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)	59% THINGS TO DO
#4	Connectivity (proximity to other neighbourhoods, employment centres, shops etc.)	50% LOOK & FUNCTION
#4	Things to do in the evening (bars, dining, cinema, live music etc.)	50% THINGS TO DO
#6	Access and safety of walking, cycling and/or public transport (signage, paths, lighting etc.)	49% SENSE OF WELCOME
#6	Locally owned and operated businesses	49% UNIQUE
#8	Sense of personal safety (for all ages, genders, day or night)	47% SENSE OF WELCOME
#9	Quality of public space (footpaths, verges, parks etc.)	45% LOOK & FUNCTION
#10	Sense of belonging in the community	44% UNIQUE


LEGEND

- #1 attribute
- #2 attribute
- #3 attribute
- #1 Different from LGA top 10 CF

DEMOGRAPHIC BREAKDOWN ¹												
ALL	98	#1	#2	#3	#4	#5	#6	#7	#8	#9	#10	Highest rated attributes if not in the overall top ten
Male	30	80%	53%	53%	63%	60%	53%	40%	47%	47%	47%	
Female	68	76%	63%	62%	44%	46%	47%	53%	47%	44%	43%	
Age												
0-24	3	100%	100%	33%	67%	100%	33%	67%	33%	67%	0%	
25-44	48	75%	60%	54%	58%	54%	44%	52%	54%	50%	46%	
45-64	35	77%	51%	63%	43%	43%	54%	43%	43%	40%	49%	Sense of neighbourhood safety (from crime, traffic, pollution etc.) (54%)
65+	12	83%	75%	75%	33%	42%	58%	50%	33%	33%	33%	
Country of birth (Top 3)												
Australia	73	77%	63%	59%	52%	51%	52%	48%	45%	44%	40%	
United Kingdom	8	100%	38%	88%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	62%	50%	Overall visual character of the neighbourhood (62%), Sense of neighbourhood safety (from crime, traffic, pollution etc.) (62%), Elements of natural environment (natural features, views, vegetation, topography, water, wildlife etc.) (62%)
New Zealand	5	80%	40%	20%	40%	60%	40%	40%	60%	40%	60%	
Ancestry (Top 3)												
European (including United Kingdom)	45	73%	58%	56%	51%	58%	60%	42%	38%	51%	47%	
Australasian	41	83%	66%	56%	46%	41%	39%	59%	51%	44%	39%	
Mixed	8	62%	25%	88%	75%	62%	38%	25%	88%	38%	50%	Evidence of recent public investment (roads, parks, schools etc.) (75%)

12C SUMMER HILL LIVEABILITY

RESIDENTS GAVE THEIR
NEIGHBOURHOOD A SCORE OF:



PLACE DIMENSIONS

Your PX Score is made up of 5 key place dimensions, each rated out of 20, that influence people's attraction and attachment to place.

LOOK & FUNCTION



SENSE OF WELCOME



THINGS TO DO



UNIQUENESS



CARE



WHAT IS IMPACTING OUR LIVED EXPERIENCE TODAY









These tables identify the highest and lowest rated attributes that are contributing to neighbourhood liveability.

RATE	TOP 5 LIVEABILITY CONTRIBUTORS
#1	Connectivity (proximity to other neighbourhoods, employment centres, shops etc.)
#2	Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)
#3	There are people like me (age, gender, interests, ethnic backgrounds etc.)
#4	Local businesses that provide for daily needs (grocery stores, pharmacy, banks etc.)
#5	Mix or diversity of people in the area

RATE	BOTTOM 5 LIVEABILITY CONTRIBUTORS
#50	Ease of driving and parking
#49	Sustainable urban design (water sensitive design, transport-oriented design, sustainable building design, density etc.)
#48	Range of housing prices and tenures (low to high \$, buy or rent etc.)
#47	Sustainable behaviours in the community (water management, solar panels, recycling etc.)
#46	Evidence of recent public investment (roads, parks, schools etc.)

LIVEABILITY BY DEMOGRAPHIC

This table identifies the Neighbourhood PX Scores as rated by different demographic groups.

							
Total PX Score	Men	Women	Diff. Identity	0-24	25-44	45-64	65+
69	68*	68	NA	83	72	60*	65*

LEGEND

- Under 10 respondents
- PX 70+ Performing well
- PX 50-69 Room for improvement
- PX <50 Urgent care needed

12D SUMMER HILL IDEAS FOR CHANGE (1/2)

COMMON COMMUNITY IDEAS INCLUDE MORE AND/OR BETTER GREEN SPACES, MAINTENANCE AND PRIVATE VEHICLE INFRASTRUCTURE

Place Score asked survey respondents 'What is your small or big idea to make your neighbourhood a better place?' and 'What's missing in your neighbourhood that would make it a better place to live?'

121 answers were collected in Summer Hill. Here is what you community said:



12D SUMMER HILL IDEAS FOR CHANGE (2/2)

LESS THAN 20% OF IDEAS RELATED TO THESE THEMES

Place Score asked survey respondents 'What is your small or big idea to make your neighbourhood a better place?' and 'What's missing in your neighbourhood that would make it a better place to live?' 121 answers were collected in Summer Hill. Here is what you community said:



NEIGHBOURHOOD PROFILE

13. SYDENHAM-TEMPE-ST PETERS

13A SYDENHAM-TEMPE-ST PETERS STRENGTHS AND PRIORITIES


These tables and graph illustrate your neighbourhood strengths, liveability improvement priorities and secondary priorities.

STRENGTHS should be celebrated and protected.

LIVEABILITY IMPROVEMENT PRIORITIES identify the aspects of your neighbourhood that are important to people but are currently underperforming. Improving these attributes will have the most significant impact on your community.

SECONDARY PRIORITIES identify attributes to look-out for, they are negatively affecting liveability and can become more significant issues if more people start caring about them.

CF	NEIGHBOURHOOD STRENGTHS
9	Sense of personal safety (for all ages, genders, day or night)
8	Connectivity (proximity to other neighbourhoods, employment centres, shops etc.)



LEGEND

- Horizontal: Top 10 CF threshold
- Diagonal: Threshold showing attributes which PX rating is performing 10 pts worse than their CF ranking! (PX=CF+10)
- Equal CF rank and PX Score (PX=CF)

CF	LIVEABILITY IMPROVEMENT PRIORITIES
1	General condition of public open space (street trees, footpaths, parks etc.)
5	Protection of the natural environment
3	Access and safety of walking, cycling and/or public transport (signage, paths, lighting etc.)
9	Quality of public space (footpaths, verges, parks etc.)
4	Walking/jogging/bike paths that connect housing to communal amenity (shops, parks etc.)
9	Local businesses that provide for daily needs (grocery stores, pharmacy, banks etc.)
5	Elements of natural environment (natural features, views, vegetation, topography, water, wildlife etc.)
7	Things to do in the evening (bars, dining, cinema, live music etc.)
2	Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)

CF	SECONDARY PRIORITIES
15	Sustainable urban design (water sensitive design, transport-oriented design, sustainable building design, density etc.)

13B SYDENHAM-TEMPE-ST PETERS TOP 10 CARE FACTORS

WHAT RESIDENTS MOST CARE ABOUT

Neighbourhood attributes are ranked based on how many people selected each attribute as being important to them in their 'ideal neighbourhood'.

RANK	ATTRIBUTE	% OF PEOPLE
#1	General condition of public open space (street trees, footpaths, parks etc.)	69% CARE
#2	Access to neighbourhood amenities (cafes, shops, health and wellness services etc.)	57% THINGS TO DO
#3	Access and safety of walking, cycling and/or public transport (signage, paths, lighting etc.)	55% SENSE OF WELCOME
#4	Walking/jogging/bike paths that connect housing to communal amenity (shops, parks etc.)	54% THINGS TO DO
#5	Elements of natural environment (natural features, views, vegetation, topography, water, wildlife etc.)	53% UNIQUE
#5	Protection of the natural environment	53% CARE
#7	Things to do in the evening (bars, dining, cinema, live music etc.)	51% THINGS TO DO
#8	Connectivity (proximity to other neighbourhoods, employment centres, shops etc.)	50% LOOK & FUNCTION
#9	Local businesses that provide for daily needs (grocery stores, pharmacy, banks etc.)	49% SENSE OF WELCOME
#9	Quality of public space (footpaths, verges, parks etc.)	49% LOOK & FUNCTION


LEGEND

- #1 attribute
- #2 attribute
- #3 attribute
- #1 Different from LGA top 10 CF

DEMOGRAPHIC BREAKDOWN ¹												
ALL	102	#1	#2	#3	#4	#5	#5	#7	#8	#9	#9	Highest rated attributes if not in the overall top ten
Male	35	80%	54%	63%	66%	54%	40%	51%	57%	49%	54%	
Female	66	62%	59%	52%	47%	52%	59%	52%	45%	48%	45%	
Age												
0-24	3	33%	100%	33%	33%	100%	100%	67%	33%	100%	67%	
25-44	41	73%	51%	56%	54%	59%	51%	46%	59%	54%	54%	
45-64	43	70%	56%	53%	56%	53%	58%	53%	49%	49%	40%	Mix or diversity of people in the area (56%)
65+	15	60%	67%	60%	53%	27%	33%	53%	33%	27%	60%	Sense of personal safety (for all ages, genders, day or night) (60%), Sense of belonging in the community (60%)
Country of birth (Top 3)												
Australia	78	71%	58%	56%	55%	53%	54%	56%	51%	54%	46%	
United Kingdom	8	62%	62%	62%	50%	25%	38%	25%	38%	50%	62%	Sense of personal safety (for all ages, genders, day or night) (62%)
Canada	2	50%	100%	0%	50%	50%	50%	50%	100%	0%	0%	
Ancestry (Top 3)												
Australasian	44	73%	61%	55%	61%	57%	50%	50%	64%	61%	39%	
European (including United Kingdom)	41	63%	63%	54%	44%	46%	56%	63%	39%	49%	51%	
Mixed	11	91%	45%	64%	55%	55%	45%	18%	45%	9%	73%	Sense of personal safety (for all ages, genders, day or night) (64%), Sense of neighbourhood safety (from crime, traffic, pollution etc.) (64%), Mix or diversity of people in the area (64%)

13C SYDENHAM-TEMPE-ST PETERS LIVEABILITY

RESIDENTS GAVE THEIR
NEIGHBOURHOOD A SCORE OF:



PLACE DIMENSIONS

Your PX Score is made up of 5 key place dimensions, each rated out of 20, that influence people's attraction and attachment to place.

LOOK & FUNCTION



SENSE OF WELCOME



THINGS TO DO



UNIQUENESS



CARE



WHAT IS IMPACTING OUR LIVED EXPERIENCE TODAY

These tables identify the highest and lowest rated attributes that are contributing to neighbourhood liveability.

RATE	TOP 5 LIVEABILITY CONTRIBUTORS
#1	There are people like me (age, gender, interests, ethnic backgrounds etc.)
#2	Mix or diversity of people in the area
#3	Welcoming to all people
#4	Spaces for group or community activities and/or gatherings (sports, picnics, performances etc.)
#5	Sense of personal safety (for all ages, genders, day or night)

RATE	BOTTOM 5 LIVEABILITY CONTRIBUTORS
#50	Physical comfort (including noise, smells, temperature etc.)
#49	Ease of driving and parking
#48	Sustainable urban design (water sensitive design, transport-oriented design, sustainable building design, density etc.)
#47	Evidence of recent public investment (roads, parks, schools etc.)
#46	Protection of the natural environment

LIVEABILITY BY DEMOGRAPHIC

This table identifies the Neighbourhood PX Scores as rated by different demographic groups.

Total PX Score	Men	Women	Diff. Identity	0-24	25-44	45-64	65+
58	61*	56	64	78	57	59*	54*

LEGEND

- Under 10 respondents
- PX 70+ Performing well
- PX 50-69 Room for improvement
- PX <50 Urgent care needed

13D SYDENHAM-TEMPE-ST PETERS IDEAS FOR CHANGE (1/2)

COMMON COMMUNITY IDEAS INCLUDE MORE AND/OR BETTER ACTIVE TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE, GREEN SPACES AND MAINTENANCE

Place Score asked survey respondents 'What is your small or big idea to make your neighbourhood a better place?' and 'What's missing in your neighbourhood that would make it a better place to live?'

146 answers were collected in Sydenham-Tempe-St Peters. Here is what you community said:



13D SYDENHAM-TEMPE-ST PETERS IDEAS FOR CHANGE (2/2)

LESS THAN 20% OF IDEAS RELATED TO THESE THEMES

Place Score asked survey respondents *'What is your small or big idea to make your neighbourhood a better place?'* and *'What's missing in your neighbourhood that would make it a better place to live?'*

146 answers were collected in Sydenham-Tempe-St Peters. Here is what you community said:



REFERENCE DOCUMENTS

The background features a dark gray field with a large, light gray, rounded geometric shape on the right side. This shape has a pointed top and a curved bottom, resembling a stylized folder or a piece of paper. The overall aesthetic is minimalist and modern.

REFERENCE LIST

DPE., 2017. LOCAL STRATEGIC PLANNING STATEMENTS Guideline for Councils. NSW Department of Planning and Environment.

DPE., 2018. FAQs – Local Strategic Planning Statements. NSW Department of Planning and Environment

DPE., 2018. Example Local Strategic Planning Statement. NSW Department of Planning and Environment.

DPE., 2018. Local Strategic Planning Statements, Community Strategic Plans and the Integrated Planning and Reporting Framework. NSW Department of Planning and Environment.

DPE., 2018. Setting up planning and designing for better places: respecting and enhancing local character. Planning Systems Circular. NSW Department of Planning and Environment.

DPE., 2018. ST LEONARDS & CROWS NEST DRAFT CHARACTER STATEMENT. NSW Department of Planning and Environment.

DPE., 2018. TELOPEA LOCAL CHARACTER STATEMENT. NSW Department of Planning and Environment.

DPE., 2019. Local Character and Place guideline. Department of Planning and Environment.

DPE., 2019. Local character and place collection. Department of Planning and Environment.

GSC., 2018. A Metropolis that Works. Greater Sydney Commission.

GSC., 2018. GREATER SYDNEY REGION PLAN A Metropolis of Three Cities – connecting people. Greater Sydney Commission.

GSC., 2018. LEP ROADMAP Guidelines for updating Local Environmental Plans to give effect to the District Plans in the Greater Sydney Region. Greater Sydney Commission.

GSC., 2018. OUR GREATER SYDNEY 2056 Eastern City District Plan – connecting communities. Greater Sydney Commission.



THANK YOU

FOR MORE INFORMATION
PLEASE CONTACT PLACE SCORE

WWW.PLACESCORE.ORG
+61 (2) 80217027